
Craven Local Plan **Draft 22/9/14**

Sites Preferred and Not Preferred for Consultation

This document relates to sites that have been considered for future housing and mixed housing and employment development, as part of the preparation of the draft Craven Local Plan. A large number of sites have been put forward to the Council by interested parties e.g., landowners.

During summer 2013 the Council organised a series of drop-in meetings with residents, businesses and organisations in the plan area and asked for information and views about these possible sites for development. Feedback gathered at these meetings has helped shape the current draft of the local plan. Those sites that are above 0.1ha in size were then subject to preliminary sustainability checks. Sites have also been checked to see if they have any planning permission on them. Where either the entire or the majority of the site has planning permission, preliminary sustainability checks have not been carried out.

The combination of all this work has helped the Council to identify sites that are preferred for consultation in the draft local plan. They have been earmarked to provide housing or a mixture of housing and employment uses. Within some settlements a number of sites have been identified as options for future housing development (yellow sites). Consultation on the draft local plan will be an opportunity for people to provide feedback on which of these options might be best.

This document sets out a summary of the preliminary sustainability checks carried out, whether the site was viewed as favourable, unfavourable or neither during the summer 2013 engagement and if any of the sites have planning permission. This information is presented by settlement and sites have been organised into those preferred and those not preferred for consultation.

This document accompanies the draft local plan and will hopefully be useful in helping to formulate feedback on the policies and sites contained within the draft plan.

Settlement: High Bentham						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
HB011					Favourable	No
HB023					Favourable	No
HB028 (option)					Neither	No
HB030 (option)					Neither	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
HB001					Neither	No
HB003					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 08/2007/755 1 – 1 dwelling)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
HB006						Favourable	Yes (Ref: 08/2003/371 3 – 3 dwellings)
HB008						Favourable	No
HB013						Not subject	Yes (Ref: 08/2009/939 0 – 1 dwelling)
HB014						Neither	No
HB017						Neither	No
HB020						Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
HB031						Neither	No	
		There are two major negative outcomes for loss of employment potential and health and wellbeing, but a major positive for reuse of brownfield land and three minor positives for flood risk, carbon emissions and waste.						
HB032						Favourable	No	
		There are two minor positives for health and wellbeing and flood risk; and one minor negative for loss of good agricultural land.						
HB033						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site (although community feedback suggests flooding may be an issue). However concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace which is more than a 400m walking distance. The site also provides a tranquil setting adjacent to the burial ground to the north that is valued by residents of Bentham and should be preserved.						
HB035						Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2011/115 60 – Outline)	
		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.						
HB036						Neither	No	
		The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. Various concerns exist as the site is located to the north of the town centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. The site is also beyond 1000m to public open space. Development of the site would result in the loss of a small parcel of grade 3 agricultural land.						
HB038						Favourable	No	
		There is one minor positive outcome for flood risk; two minor negatives for loss of good agricultural land and Green Wedge; and a major negative for health and wellbeing.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
HB039						Unfavourable	No	
		The site is well related to local services and has no significant flood risk issues. Development of the site would need to include pavement provision. No mitigation measures have been submitted to date. The site is also beyond a 400m walk to children's playspace and beyond 1000m to public open space.						
HB040						Unfavourable	No	
		The site has no significant flood risk issues. The site is located to the east of the town centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. It also lies beyond 1000m of public open space. Development of the site may also exacerbate a local highways safety issue in the vicinity, namely poor pavement provision. No mitigation measures have been submitted to date.						
HB041						Unfavourable	No	
		There are two minor positive outcomes for flood risk and reuse of brownfield land, but three major negatives for equality, health and wellbeing and carbon emissions.						
HB042						Unfavourable	No	
		There is a minor positive outcome for flood risk, but two minor negative outcomes for equality and carbon emissions and a major negative for health and wellbeing. The overall outcome is therefore negative.						
HB043						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land, the proximity to children's playspace, which is more than a 400m walking distance, and the proximity to public open space.						
HB044						Favourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreation facilities, and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to public open space.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
HB045						Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2014/144 52 – conversion of holiday cottages to residential)
HB046						Neither	No
HB047						Neither	No
HB0048						Favourable	No
HB050						Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2013/138 08 - 2 dwellings)
HB051						Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2010/111 33 – 2 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
HB052						The site is well related to existing services and recreation facilities, and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the extensive loss of good grazing land and the proximity to public open space.	Made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
HB053						The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace and public open space.	Made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: Low Bentham							
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts			Potential significant negative impacts
Preferred Sites for Consultation							
LB010 (option)					Favourable	No	
		A positive aspect of the site includes the fact that it is within flood zone 1. Development of the site would result in the loss of grade 3 grazing land, which would have a minor negative effect in terms of sustainability. The site is also more than 400m from the children's play space on Doctor's Hill.					
LB015					Favourable	No	
		Positive aspects of the site include the fact that it is 400m of the children's play space and is within flood zone 1. The only negative aspect is that the site is grade 3 agricultural land.					
LB021 (option)					Neither	No	
		Positive aspects of this site include the fact that it is within 400m from the children's play space, and that it is within flood zone 1. A concept statement and scheme has been submitted by the agent showing retention of the existing public footpath along the western boundary, access for Bentham TC to the former Low Bentham Primary School playing fields, protection of the existing woodland on the south of the site. CDC planning policy officers consider the concept statement and scheme for this site adequately addresses preservation of the setting of a nearby listed building.					
LB024 (option)					Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No	
LB025 (option)					Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No	

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
Sites not preferred for consultation								
LB007							Neither	Yes (together with LB022, ref: 08/2014/14386 – 4 dwellings)
LB008							Neither	No
LB009							Neither	No
LB011							Neither	No
LB012							Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
LB013						Favourable	No	
		The negative aspect of the site is that it contains playing fields that were associated with the school, however given the size of the site play space could be retain/provided as part of any future scheme. Whilst the school is now closed the playing fields have potential to serve a need in the wider community. The site is grade 3 agricultural land, however in the past and currently it is not used as agricultural land and is unlikely to be of importance to local food production. Positively the site is within Flood Zone 1 and is partially brownfield in nature so provides some potential for the reuse of materials. In respect of the rest of the objectives, the site presents neutral impacts.						
LB014						Neither	No	
		The positive aspect of the site is that it is within 400m from the children's play space. The site is grade 3 agricultural land, however the land owner has indicated that the land has not generated any income from agricultural uses. Positively the site is within Flood Zone 1. In respect of the rest of the objectives the site presents neutral impacts.						
LB016		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 08/2013/13284 – 1 dwelling)
LB017						Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2011/11941 – 1 dwelling)	
		The site does not have any uncertain, minor or significant negative impacts. Positive aspects of the site are that it is within 400m of children's play space, is within flood zone 1 and contains some brownfield land, which may provide opportunities for the re-use of materials. Note planning permission has been granted on this site since sustainability checks were carried out.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
LB018						Favourable	No	
		Negative aspects of the site include the fact that it is more than a 400m walk from children's play space, however given its size play space could be provided, it is grade 3 agricultural land although the site has previously been used as a certificated location for caravans. The eastern portion of the site falls within the Green Wedge Local Plan designation. Information provided by the agent shows the south west corner of the site retained as a buffer to the railway line and provide enhanced biodiversity value and contribute to amenity and open space. The positive aspect of the site is that it is within flood risk zone 1.						
LB019		The area of the site is under 0.1ha and therefore was not subject to sustainability checks					Neither	No
LB022		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (together with LB007, ref: 08/2014/143 86 – 4 dwellings)
LB023							Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: Ingleton						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
IN009		The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.			Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2014/14538 – 15 affordable dwellings)
IN028		This site presents positive attributes in terms of low flood risk and proximity to Ingleton village centre. However, there are negative impacts in terms of the site being potentially attractive to the second homes market and the site's proximity to a SSSI and SAC.			Favourable	No
IN033		There are a number of positive attributes with regards this site including proximity to Ingleton village centre, low flood risk. However, there are also negative aspects which include distance from children's play space, potential attraction to the second homes market and proximity to a SSSI and SAC. There are also uncertainties with regards impacts on heritage assets.			Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
IN006		There are a number of negative sustainability impacts present including the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market, distance from children's play space, and potential impacts upon a SSSI and SAC. However, there are also positive attributes including, proximity to Ingleton village centre, low flood risk and the brownfield status of the land.			Unfavourable	No
IN008		The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.			Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2010/10758 – 28 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
IN010						Neither	No	
		This site offers positive attributes with regards proximity to Ingleton village centre, and the potential recycling of materials. However, there are negative impacts including distance from children's play space, the sites potential attraction to the second homes market, and proximity to Meal Bank Quarry SSSI.						
IN012		The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2014/143 34 – 6 dwellings for affordable rent)
IN015						Neither	No	
		There are positive attributes with regards this site in terms of proximity to Ingleton village centre and the low flood risk of the site. However, negative impacts include the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market and distance from children's play space.						
IN016						Unfavourable	No	
		The site is negative in respect of the majority being subject to high flood risk. A positive attribute of this site is the proximity to Ingleton village centre.						
IN022						Neither	No	
		This site represents a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Ingleton village centre, its potential for employment allocation and the high levels of flood risk across the site. However, the site is of a scale that may offer opportunity for on site provision.						
IN029						Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2009/101 83 – 24 affordable dwellings – part site)	
		This site offers positive attributes in terms of low flood risk for much of the site. However, there are negative aspects which include distance from Ingleton village centre, potential attraction for the second homes market, distance from children's play space (although it may be possible to accommodate on site provision), the site's location within 2km of an SAC, and potential impacts on views from the National Park.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
IN031						Neither	No
IN034						Neither	Yes (Refs: 45/2002/2284, 45/2003/3724, 45/2003/2918, 45/2009/9982 – 11 dwellings total)
IN035						Neither	No
IN037						Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2003/3030 – 1 dwelling)
IN041						Neither	No
IN043						Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2008/8888 – 4 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
IN044						Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2012/13154 – 7 dwellings)
IN045						Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN046						Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN047						Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN048						Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: Burton-in-Lonsdale							
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts			Potential significant negative impacts
Preferred Sites for Consultation							
BU001					Favourable	No	
		This site is generally considered to be minor negative in terms of sustainability impacts. Positive attributes include proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and low flood risk. Negative impacts include existing distance from children's play space (although the site is of a sufficient size to accommodate new on-site provision), the potential for the site to have high levels of second home ownership and the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value.					
BU008					Neither	No	
		This site raises major negative sustainability impacts overall. Positive attributes include its proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and the western part of the site being of low flood risk. However, there are negative impacts in terms of distance to children's play space, the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market, grade 3 agricultural land, high flood risk on the eastern portion of the site, potential townscape impacts and uncertainties in relation to impacts on the adjacent Grade II listed buildings.					
BU009					Favourable	No	
		The site raises major negative sustainability impacts. The positive attributes in respect of this site are its proximity to children's play space. However, there are several sustainability concerns with parts of the site being located in flood risk zone 3a and 2, access to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre whilst not far requires crossing a narrow bridge without footpath provision.					
Sites not preferred for consultation							
BU006					Neither	No	
		This site is considered to be generally minor negative in terms of sustainability impacts. The site has positive attributes in terms of its proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and low flood risk. However, there are negative aspects in relation to the site being potentially attractive to the second homes market, grade 3 agricultural land value.					
BU011					Favourable	Yes (15/2011/11 808 – 1 dwelling)	
		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area of under 0.1ha.					

Settlement: Settle								
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
Preferred Sites for Consultation								
SG018							Favourable	No
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land, heritage assets, carbon emissions and waste; and negative outcomes for loss of employment potential, equality and flood risk. The overall outcome tends towards the positive, albeit with a major flood-risk drawback to be addressed.						
SG025							Unfavourable	No
		There are positive outcomes for businesses/employment, health and wellbeing and biodiversity; and a negative outcome for loss of good agricultural land. The overall outcome tends towards the positive and is aided by the landowner's positive development intentions, as illustrated in his concept plans. Outcomes might be improved further, if walking distances to the town centre could be addressed.						
SG027							Favourable	Yes (Ref: 62/2012/130 51 – 4 dwellings)
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing and flood risk; a negative outcome for the loss of some Grade 3 agricultural land; and an uncertain outcome for the effect on the Yorkshire Dales National Park.						
SG029							Neither	Part of site forms part of wider consent on SG030, ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk and re-use of brownfield land; neutral in other respects; and uncertain regarding the likely effect on the setting of Settle Conservation Area.						
SG032							Neither	No
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; a minor negative outcome for flood risk; and an uncertain outcome for heritage assets.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts				
SG035							Neither	No	
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk, reuse of brownfield land, heritage assets, carbon emissions and waste; and minor negative outcomes for loss of employment potential and equality.							
SG042							Neither	No	
		There are positive outcomes for vitality, health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; a major negative outcome for loss of employment potential; a minor negative outcome for flood risk; and uncertain outcomes for heritage and townscape.							
SG053							Favourable	No	
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk, reuse of brownfield land, carbon emissions and waste; a negative outcome for loss of employment potential; and uncertain outcomes for heritage and townscape.							
SG065							Neither	No	
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and waste; and negative outcomes for loss of economic development potential and flood risk.							
SG068							Neither	No	
		There is a major positive outcome for health and wellbeing; there are minor negative outcomes for flood risk and loss of some Grade 3 agricultural land.; and an uncertain outcome for impact on the national park.							
SG074							Neither	No	
		There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; and negative outcomes for flood risk and biodiversity. The outcome for businesses/employment is uncertain, largely because the question of viability (employment development versus mixed-use development) is unresolved at present.							
Sites not preferred for consultation									
SG026							Neither	No	
		There is a minor positive outcome for flood risk, a minor negative for equality and a major negative for health and wellbeing.							

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SG028							Favourable	Site subject to long planning history (overall 23 dwellings – most recent application ref: 62/2014/148 00)
SG030							Neither	Yes (together with parts of SG029 and SG031 – ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
SG031							Neither	Yes (part of site forms part of wider consent on SG030, ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
SG061							Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2013/135 90 – 37 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SG066						Favourable	No	
		Outcomes are mostly neutral, with a positive outcome for flood risk and negative outcomes for access to children's play space and loss of good agricultural land.						
SG069						Neither	No	
		There are positive outcomes for town centre vitality, health and wellbeing, flood risk, re-use of brownfield land, carbon emissions and waste; minor negative outcomes for loss of employment potential and equality; and uncertain outcomes for heritage assets and national park/townscape.						
SG075		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site (62/2011/12180 – 4 dwellings).					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 62/2011/12180 – 4 dwellings)
SG076		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2007/8136 – 5 dwellings)
SG077		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2010/11138 – 5 dwellings)

Settlement: Giggleswick						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
SG014		Outcomes are largely neutral, but with two minor positives for health and wellbeing and flood risk.			Favourable	No
SG015		Outcomes are largely neutral with minor positives for health and wellbeing and flood risk.			Neither	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
SG004		There are positive outcomes for access to play space and reuse of some brownfield land, but several minor negatives for equality, flood risk, biodiversity and townscape, plus an uncertain outcome for heritage assets. Other outcomes are neutral.			Unfavourable	No
SG010		Outcomes are mostly neutral or uncertain, with one positive for access to play space and two minor negatives for equality and flood risk.			Neither	Yes (Ref: 31/2011/116 43 – 8 dwellings)
SG012		There are negative outcomes for equality, health and wellbeing and flood risk; no positive outcomes; and an uncertain outcome for heritage assets.			Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SG013		This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to an existing planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 31/2011/116 40 – 10 dwellings)
SG062		Overall, the outcome is an indeterminate mix of positive (access to play space), negative (equality and flood risk), uncertain (heritage and townscape) and neutral.					Neither	No
SG071		There are positive outcomes for access to play space, flood risk, brownfield land and waste, but also negative outcomes for equality, biodiversity and tranquillity.					Unfavourable	No
SG072		There are major negatives for equality, health and wellbeing and carbon emissions; and minor negatives for loss of Grade 3 agricultural land and impact on townscape/landscape.					Favourable	No
SG073		Outcomes are largely neutral with one minor positive for flood risk and one major negative for health and wellbeing.					Neither	No

Settlement: Hellifield						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
HE013		This site has positive attributes in respect of proximity to Hellifield village centre, children's play space and low flood risk. Any scheme in this location depends upon its design quality given the adjacent Grade II listed church.			Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
HE001		This site does not present any major negative sustainability issues. Positive attributes include proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space.			Neither	No
HE004		The primary concern that would affect allocation of this site is that the majority of the site lies within Flood Risk Zone 3a, as such an exception test and further liaison with the Environment Agency would be required. Furthermore, whilst not lying within 500m buffer of the SSSI of Pan Beck Fen, the beck which runs through the middle of the site leads straight to the SSSI and therefore consultation with Natural England is required. Positive attributes relating to this site are the proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space.			Unfavourable	No
HE005		The key sustainability issue affecting this site is the location within flood risk zone 2. Other than this however, the site scores generally well on other sustainability matters including brownfield land, proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space.			Neither	No
HE007		The main sustainability impact relates to parts of the site being sited adjacent Hellifield Parish Church and any tranquillity issues. There are a number of positive attributes relative to this site including access to children's play space and Hellifield village centre, the majority of the site is also subject to low risk of flooding (care would need to be taken in the design process regarding the small elements in Flood Risk Zone 2). Design consideration would also need to be given to the adjacent listed buildings.			Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
HE008						Neither	No	
		The main issues affecting this site relate to the distance to children's play space and the location within flood risk zone 2. There are positive attributes in respect of proximity to Hellifield village centre.						
HE009						Favourable	No	
		The main factor affecting potential allocation for this site is its identification for potential employment use and the presence of a SSSI within 500m of the site. The sites scores positively in respect of its proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space and the majority of the site being located within flood risk zone 1 (although consideration does need to be given to that part which falls with flood risk zone 3a). Some uncertainty exists over whether there may be issue with regards water supply in this location.						
HE011						Neither	No	
		This site is major positive in terms of sustainability offering positive attributes in respect of proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space. Any scheme in this location will be required to be of a high standard of design given its location adjacent to the Yorkshire Dales National Park.						
HE012						Neither	No	
		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it is under 0.1ha in site area.						

Settlement: Rathmell						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
RA001					Neither	No
* RA003					Neither	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
RA004					Favourable	No
RA005					Unfavourable	No
* RA006					Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	Yes (Ref: 59/2013/140 49 – 4 dwellings)

*** Correction, 7th May 2015.**

Sites RA003 and RA006 appear under the wrong headings. RA003 should be under "Sites not preferred for consultation" and RA006 should be under "Preferred Sites for Consultation". This error appears in this document only and does not affect the draft Craven Local Plan (22/9/14) itself. On page 82 of the draft plan, sites RA001 and RA006 are correctly identified as draft housing sites.

Settlement: Skipton							
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts			Potential significant negative impacts
Preferred Sites for Consultation							
SK009					Unfavourable	No	
		This site presents positive sustainability attributes in respect of recycling of materials, low flood risk, proximity to children's play space and proximity to Skipton town centre. There are negative aspects which include proximity to a SINC (local biodiversity site).					
SK010					Favourable	No	
		This site offers positive sustainability attributes in respect of proximity of play space and open space, the brownfield nature of the land, the low flood risk and opportunities for recycling. Negative aspects include the proximity of a SINC (local biodiversity designation) and the existing employment use on site.					
SK013					Favourable	No	
		The site is beyond 800m walk to shops and services in the town centre, is likely to encourage additional car journeys and impact on a known highways safety issue.					
SK015					Favourable	No	
		The site is beyond 800m walk to shops and services in the town centre, is likely to encourage additional car journeys and impact on a known highways safety issue.					
SK016					Favourable	No	
		This site has positive aspects in relation to distance from open space and brownfield land whilst presenting minor negative aspects in terms of surface water flooding issues.					
SK034					Favourable	No	
		The site presents positive sustainability attributes with regards proximity to play space and open space, the site's location within a deprived ward, the potential to recycle materials and the low flood risk of the site. A negative relates to the minor employment use located on site.					

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SK044						New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK049						Favourable	No
SK051						Favourable	No
SK058						Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SK060						Favourable	No	
		Aside from the existing employment use on the site and potential surface water flooding issues at the north of the site, the site has significant positive impacts on equalities, play space / open space accessibility and use of land objectives and a minor positive impact in terms of minimising waste. Further scrutiny is necessary as to the status of current employment use of the site and the impact of loss of the site to employment on the objective to positively support local businesses to grow and residents to attain better paid employment and self-employment.						
SK061						Favourable	No	
		The site performs satisfactorily against most objectives with limited significant impacts. Its distance from Skipton town centre might encourage car use. However, the site's canal side location in an area of overall deprivation, coupled with highway safety mitigation opportunities, represent positive impacts.						
SK080a						Unfavourable	No	
		The site presents positive impacts in relation to its low flood risk status. However, there are negative impacts relating to distance to the town centre and the proximity of a nearby SINC.						
SK081						Unfavourable	No	
		The site has a neutral impact on all objectives apart from access to children's play space / public open space (significant positive impact), flood risk (minor positive impact) and biodiversity (potential minor negative impact subject to verifying site checklist).						
SK082						Unfavourable	No	
		The site has positive impacts in relation to flood risk and open space but has negative impacts concerning biodiversity and the distance from town centre facilities.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SK086						Favourable	No	
		The site has neutral impacts against most objectives but performs positively in terms of access to public open space / children's play space and has minor negative flood risk issues due to surface water run off issues on a minority of the site and proximity to a SINC.						
SK087						Neither	No	
		The site has positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space and low flood risk. However, negative aspects include potential for economic development, distance to Skipton town centre and proximity to a SINC (local biodiversity designation), and surface water flooding.						
SK090						Favourable	No	
		The site performs well in relation to access to services and facilities including children's play space / public open space. Subject to addressing surface water run off on part of the site, this site has good potential for allocation.						
SK095						Favourable	No	
		The site has negative impacts against a number of objectives.						
SK108						Neither	No	
		The site presents positive impacts in relation to access to play space and low risk of flooding. However, there are negative impacts relating to distance to the town centre and the proximity of a nearby SINC.						
SK113						Unfavourable	No	
		The site has significant negative impacts in relation to objectives concerning the economy, equalities and carbon emissions						
SK120						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2014/14741 – business use).	
		The site performs reasonably positively but a green outcome here is only appropriate subject to the area of flood zone 3a on the site being removed by either an amended site boundary or a site specific flood risk assessment.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SK122						Favourable	No	
		This site offers positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space and the sites location within a deprived ward and potential to recycle materials. However, negative aspects include distance to Skipton town centre, potential vulnerability to surface water flooding and the site being an existing employment use.						
SK135						New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	Yes (ref 63/2010/10914 – industrial use).	
		This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been submitted as a preferred location for employment use.						
Sites not preferred for consultation								
SK001						Unfavourable	No	
		The site is distant from children's play space and potentially attractive to the 2nd homes market, the site performs fairly without having significant impacts on any objectives.						
SK004						Unfavourable	No	
		Positive sustainability attributes include the low flood risk of the site and the potential benefits of development on Skipton town centre. However, a number of negatives exist including the potential attractiveness of the site to the second homes market, the distance from children's play space, highways safety and the nearby location of a SINC (local biodiversity designation).						
SK007						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13949 – 9 dwellings).	
		This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.						
SK014						Favourable	No	
		Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).						
SK018						Favourable	No	
		This site presents positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space, the brownfield nature of the site and the opportunities to improve townscape. Negative aspects include surface water flooding vulnerability.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SK020						Favourable	No
SK021						Unfavourable	No
SK022						Unfavourable	No
SK033						Unfavourable	No
SK037						Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11998 – 57 dwellings. Covers SK037 and SK038).
SK038						Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11998 – 57 dwellings. Covers SK037 and SK038).
SK052						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12292 – 1 dwelling).
SK083						Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13748 – outline 49 dwellings).

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SK084						Unfavourable	No
SK088						New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK089						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13350 – 103 dwellings).
SK094						Favourable	No
SK096						Unfavourable	No
SK097						Unfavourable	No
SK098						Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SK099						Unfavourable	No	
		The site presents negative sustainability impacts in terms of surface water flood risk and employment use. There are positive impacts in terms of access to open space and play space.						
SK101						Favourable	No	
		The site raises negative impacts in terms of distance to Skipton town centre and potential for economic development as well as concerns over landscape impacts. However, a positive impact exists in relation to the distance to play space and open space. Overall the site raises major negative sustainability impacts.						
SK103						Neither	Yes (ref 63/2013/13823 – 2 dwellings).	
		This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.						
SK109						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12363 – industrial use).	
		This site presents negative impacts in terms of employment use, distance to the town centre, surface water flooding and proximity to a SINC.						
SK111						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13426 – business use).	
		Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).						
SK114						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13167 – outline, 114 dwellings).	
		The site is positive in respect of proximity to play space and the site's location within a more deprived ward. However, there are negative impacts in terms of distance to the town centre and surface water flood risk.						
SK116						Favourable	No	
		The site performs satisfactorily against most objectives with limited significant impacts. Its distance from Skipton town centre might encourage car use. However, the site's canal side location in an area of overall deprivation represent positive impacts in the event of highway safety issues at the adjacent Horse Close bridge being mitigated in association with development at that site.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SK118						Favourable	No
SK119						Favourable	No
SK121						Favourable	No
SK124						New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK125						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12841 – outline, 4 dwellings).
SK126						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/12090 – 1 dwelling).
SK127						Favourable	No
SK128						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11854 – 2 dwellings).
SK129						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12515 – 4 dwellings).

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SK130						Favourable	Yes (refs 63/2008/8257 and 63/2011/11855 – 11 dwellings).
SK131						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11504 – 1 dwelling and business use).
SK132						Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12771 – 33 'close care' apartments and 63/2014/14656 – 11 dwellings).
SK133						Favourable	No
SK134						Unfavourable	No

Settlement: Gargrave									
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts				
Preferred Sites for Consultation									
GA012							Unfavourable	No	
	<p>Negative aspects of the site include the fact that it is in economic use and is identified as having economic development potential. The site may be attractive to developers and purchasers in the 2nd homes market, given its canal side location. Positive aspects of the site include the fact that it is well related to existing services, recreational opportunities and that the site is brownfield. The site is within flood zone 1 (low risk), however due to the close proximity of the Leeds/Liverpool Canal there may be a risk of surface water flooding, therefore a sequential/exception test or site specific flood risk assessment may be required.</p>								
GA025							Neither	No	
	<p>Negative features of this site relate to the fact that it has been identified as having potential for economic development and that the site is grade 3 agricultural land. Positive features include good access to existing shops, services and children's play space, it falls within flood zone 1 (however there maybe some surface water flooding issues relating to its proximity to the canal) and would have minimal impact on biodiversity and heritage assets.</p>								
GA028							Favourable	No	
	<p>Positive aspects of the site include the fact that it is within flood zone 1, however given its location adjacent to the canal may mean that there may be some surface water flooding issues. The site is 800m from the shops and facilities in Gargrave. The canal side location may be attractive to the second homes market. A negative aspect of the site is distance from children's play space, although opportunities may exist to accommodate play space on site. Development of this site would also result in the loss of grade 3 agricultural land.</p>								

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
GA029							Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation								
GA001							Neither	No
GA002							Neither	Yes (Ref: 30/2013/133 68 – 6 units)
GA003							Favourable	No
GA004							Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
GA005						Neither	No	
		Positive features of the site are that it is well related to existing services and open space, however negative features include that the site is located in the older part of the village, as such, development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers and that the site is more than 400m from the area of children's play space in the village. Details have been provided to demonstrate that harm can be avoided to the setting of the nearby listed buildings and the conservation area. Development of the site may have an impact on the tranquillity of the adjacent St Andrews Church.						
GA009						Neither	No	
		The site's main negative feature is the fact that it is more than 400m from children's play space, however given the site's size opportunities may exist for play space to be provided on the site as part of a scheme. The site is also just over an 800m walk from the village shops etc. In addition the site could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers. Positive features are that the site is within flood zone 1 (low risk), however due to the close proximity of the Leeds/Liverpool Canal there may be a risk of surface water flooding.						
GA010						Neither	No	
		The site is located in the older part of the village, as such, development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers. The site is well related to existing services and open space, however it is more than 400m from the children's play space in the village. A small portion of the south west corner of the site is within flood zone 3b, however the remainder of the site is within flood zone 1. This site is partly Grade 3 agricultural land (DEFRA) and is likely to be of local importance. The site adjoins Gargrave Conservation Area.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
GA014							Favourable	No
GA017							Neither	No
GA019							Site made available again subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	Yes (Ref: 30/2007/763 7 – 17 holiday chalets – 2 made permanent through 30/2014/142 20)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
GA020		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as planning permission exists on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 30/2012/132 01 – 29 dwellings)
GA021		Negative features of this site are that it is more than 400m from children's play space and 800m from the village's shops and services and therefore not well related to existing services and recreational opportunities. The site would also be attractive to the second homes market.					Neither	No
GA022		This site's main negative feature is its distance from Gargrave village centre. However, uncertainty also exists regarding its impact upon the Yorkshire Dales National Park which immediately abuts the site. Positive features include its low flood risk and proximity to children's play space. During the 2013 consultation events it was indicated that given the fact that the canal is at a higher level than the site the site does flood.					Neither	No
GA023		The positive aspects of the site are that the site is well related to Gargrave's shops, services and public open space, therefore development of this site would present neutral or positive sustainability effects, however some concerns exist such as the site flooding in the winter as indicated at the 2013 consultation events. Negative features of the site include the fact that the site is located in the older part of the village where development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers, it is more than 400m from the area of children's play space, is within the Gargrave Conservation Area and close to grade II listed buildings.					Favourable	No
GA026		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as planning permission exists on site.					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 30/2013/134 15 – 3 dwellings)
GA027		The main negative features of the site are that it is more than 400m from children's play space and more than 800m from the village's shops and services. The site is also grade 3 agricultural land. The positive features are that it falls within flood zone 1 and would have minimal impact on biodiversity and heritage assets.					Neither	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts				
GA030							Unfavourable	No	
		Positive aspects of the site include the fact that the site is within flood zone 1, however it was indicated during the 2013 summer consultation events that this site floods. Allocation of the site for hosing would have a neutral impact in terms of supporting local businesses, enhancing equality within the community, protecting biodiversity and geodiversity, protect quality of townscape and landscape, reduce carbon emissions and pollution, conserve water quality, minimise waste and increase recycling and safeguard minerals. Negative aspects include the fact that the site is more than 400m from children's play space, however given the size of the site there maybe opportunities to provide play space on the site as part of a scheme, and is grade 3 agricultural land.							
GA031							Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No	
		The positive aspect of the site is that it is within flood zone 1. The negative aspect of the site is that it is more than 400m from children's play space, however given the size of the site opportunities may exist to provide some play space on site as part of a scheme.							

Settlement: Embsay							
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts			Potential significant negative impacts
Preferred Sites for Consultation							
EM013					Favourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
EM016					Neither	Yes (Ref 26/2014/145 18 – Outline)	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
Sites not preferred for consultation							
EM001					Unfavourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
EM002					Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site makes a notable contribution to the townscape, being located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border. The site, which lies within the Embsay with Eastby Conservation Area, is also adjacent to a grade II listed building and at present the sustainability outcome for these heritage assets are uncertain. Issues relating to proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
EM005					Neither	No	
		The site presents possible positive outcomes for access to local facilities, however there are serious negative outcomes in relation to extensive flooding issues and access to children's playspace. Proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park boundary is also of significance. Issues relating to proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
EM006						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues. However there are negative outcomes in relation to access to children's playspace. The site is also adjacent to the Yorkshire Dales National Park boundary and the grade II listed Embsay Steam Railway Station. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. Issues relating to proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
EM010						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the north of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border and is adjacent to a listed building. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. The western edge of the site is within 800m of local facilities and public transport, however the majority of the site falls beyond this distance and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
EM012						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no recorded flood risk or known highway safety issues (although community feedback suggests that there are flooding risks in north-eastern section of site associated with the culvert). The site is located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border. As such, development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers. The site, which lies partially within the Embsay with Eastby Conservation Area, also provides a tranquil setting adjacent to the grade II listed church and burial ground that is valued by residents of both Embsay and Eastby. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						

Settlement: Carleton						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check				Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation						
CA012					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 17/2009/996 5 - Part of site for 5 dwellings)
CA014					Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation						
CA001					Favourable	No
CA003					Neither	No
CA004					Favourable	No
CA005					Neither	Yes (Ref: 17/2012/124 72 & 12473 - 4 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
CA006						Favourable	No
CA008						Neither	No
CA009						Neither	No
CA013						Neither	No

Settlement: Cononley									
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts				
Preferred Sites for Consultation									
CN006							Further scrutiny is required as to the impact on the objective to support local businesses to grow and residents to attain better paid employment and self-employment. It may be possible to retain the existing level of employment activity on the site in a mixed use scheme providing a reduction in employment floorspace.	Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation									
CN001							The site is well related to existing services and there are no flood risk issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to known local highways safety issues and the proximity to children's playspace which is beyond a 400m walk. There are also concerns about site's location adjacent to the churchyard which, at present offers a tranquil setting for residents that should not be compromised.	Neither	No
CN002							The site is well related to existing services and there are no recorded flood risk issues, although community feedback suggests that there may be some flooding on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to known local highways safety issues and the proximity to children's playspace which is beyond a 400m walk.	Neither	No
CN004							The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, and there are no flood risk issues on site (although the site borders flood zone 3 to the north). Some concerns exist in relation to the local highways safety issue along Crosshills Lane, however a current planning application outlines a highways solution at the entrance of the site and the provision of off street parking for existing residents on Crosshills Lane to alleviate existing on-street parking problems. A link to the existing footpath to the east of the site has been suggested to link the site to facilities in the village.	Neither	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
CN005						Favourable	Yes (Ref: 21/2014/142 41 – 15 dwellings)
CN007						Neither	No
CN009						Neither	No
CN011						Favourable	No
CN012						Neither	No
CN014						Neither	Yes (Ref: 21/2014/143 35 – 4 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
CN015						Neither	Yes (Ref: 21/2013/133 21 – 4 dwellings)
CN016						Neither	No
CN017						Neither	No
CN019						Neither	No

Settlement: Bradley								
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
Preferred Sites for Consultation								
BR006						Favourable	No	
		The key impacts which would affect allocation of this site is its location within Flood Risk Zone 3a. Other minor negative impacts of this site include the Grade 3 agricultural value of the site. There are positive attributes of this site including its proximity to Bradley village centre and children's play space. There are potential benefits in terms of footpath provision.						
BR007						Neither	No	
		This site offers positive attributes with respect of proximity to Bradley village centre and access to play space and low flood risk. There are minor negative issues with regards agricultural land value and uncertainty in terms of the impact on Low Bradley Conservation Area given the absence of any information to support the scheme in this respect.						
Sites not preferred for consultation								
BR001						Favourable	No	
		Positive attributes include proximity to Bradley village centre, low flood risk and the land being partly brownfield. However, the main negative aspect of this site is its distance of over 400m from children's play space although on site provision may be possible.						
BR002						Favourable	No	
		Positive attributes include proximity to Bradley village centre, low flood risk and being partly brownfield. The main negative aspect of this is the distance from children's play space.						
BR003						Unfavourable	No	
		This site has positive merits in respect of proximity to Bradley village centre and access to children's play space. There is a minor negative in respect of the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value. Uncertainty also exists in relation to how the site could be developed sympathetically in the context of its location within Bradley Conservation Area. However, a major concern relates to the site's location within Flood Risk Zone 3a.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
BR004						Unfavourable	No
	The site offers positive attributes with respect of its location in proximity to the village centre and children's play space and offering some brownfield land. There are some negative aspects notably the north western part of the site being in flood risk zone 3a, with minor impacts in terms of the site being of Grade 3 agricultural land. Uncertainties exist over potential heritage impacts.						
BR005						Neither	No
	This site is an important asset helping define village character lying at the heart of Low Bradley Conservation Area. The site has positive merits in respect of proximity to children's play space, and location within flood risk zone 1 and proximity to Bradley village centre. However, minor negative impacts relate to it being of Grade 3 agricultural value.						
BR008						Unfavourable	No
	This site offers positive sustainability attributes in respect of its proximity to Low Bradley village centre and location within flood risk zone 1 as well as the opportunity to improve the existing townscape in relation to the Conservation Area. The main negative impact of this site is the distance from children's play space as well as more minor impacts in terms of the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value. Should this scheme be considered for allocation, design would be of great importance given its historic context adjacent the Old Hall.						
BR011						Unfavourable	No
	This site presents positive sustainability attributes in respect of its location within 800m of Bradley village centre, access to children's play space and low risk of flooding. There are minor negative aspects in relation to agricultural land classification. Uncertainties exist in relation to the impacts of any scheme upon the adjacent listed buildings and Low Bradley Conservation Area.						
BR012						Favourable	No
	The site has including proximity to children's play space (southern parts of the site) and is at low flood risk. There are some negative aspects including the land being of Grade 3 agricultural land, the need for a footpath and uncertainty in terms of delivering a good quality scheme at this gateway into Bradley.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
BR013						Neither	No
	This site offers positive attributes in respect of its proximity to the village centre although there is no footpath and access to children's play space and the low risk of flooding. There are however, also negative aspects in respect of the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value. There are uncertainties in respect of the site's prominence on entry to the village from the south and the location within Low Bradley Conservation Area, overcoming this would depend upon a good standard of design. Overall this site scores minor positive in terms of sustainability impacts.						
BR014						Neither	No
	Positive attributes relating to this site include proximity to Bradley village centre and children's play space and the site's location within an area of low flood risk and also the site being primarily located with an area of Grade 4 agricultural land. There is some uncertainty of any impact on Low Bradley Conservation Area.						
BR016						Neither	No
	The key negative sustainability issue affecting this site is the distance from children's play space. However, the site's size may allow on site provision. A further negative issue of this site relates to its Grade 3 agricultural value. There are positive aspects of this site including its low flood risk and proximity to Bradley village centre.						

Settlement: Glusburn and Crosshills								
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
Preferred Sites for Consultation								
SC014						Neither	No	
		Positive attributes of this site include the brownfield nature of the site and potential for recycling. However, negative aspects exist in relation to the existing employment use, distance from children's play space (although on site provision may be possible). There are also several uncertainties which include implications on heritage assets.						
SC016						Neither	No	
		There are some sustainability issues raised with regards development of this site including the lack of nearby children's play space, parts of the site presenting surface water flooding issues and agricultural land classification (Grade 3). Positive attributes exist in relation to the site being within 800m of Crosshills village centre and access to open space.						
SC082 (long term)						Neither	No	
		Positive attributes of this site include the brownfield nature of the site and potential for recycling. However, negative aspects exist in relation to the existing employment use, distance from children's play space (although on site provision may be possible). There are also several uncertainties which include implications on heritage assets.						
Sites not preferred for consultation								
SC003						Neither	No	
		This site presents a number of sustainability concerns which would affect its potential for allocation including being identified as a potential site for employment, other concerns relate to its potential use for a rail station, access to open/play space. The site does offer some benefits in being brownfield, within 800m of Crosshills village centre and at low risk of flooding.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC004						Neither	No	
		The site represents an efficient use of brownfield land and would also offer positive attributes in terms of the majority of the site being low flood risk and the opportunity to improve the existing townscape. Careful design would be required as a small part of the site lies within Flood Risk Zone 2. Negative aspects exist in relation to the potential of the land for employment allocation, potential impacts in terms of congestion and distance from open space and children's play space.						
SC007						Unfavourable	No	
		Negative sustainability impacts relate to the Grade 3 value of the land, with uncertainty in relation to sand and gravel extraction. The site offers positive attributes in respect of proximity to children's play space and open space and the low flood risk of the site.						
SC015						Neither	No	
		The site presents positive attributes in respect of proximity to Crosshills village centre. However, negative aspects relate to the potential for employment allocation, distance from children's play space, the medium flood risk of the site and the Grade 3 agricultural value of the land.						
SC034						Unfavourable	No	
		There are sustainability issues which would affect the potential allocation of this site including, the site not lying within an 800m walking distance of Crosshills centre, the lack of nearby children's play space (although the site may be large enough to accommodate this on site) and the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value. The site is considered to be positive in respect of flood risk, surface water and access to open space. Uncertainty exists regarding the site lying within the setting of a Grade II Listed Building.						
SC035						Neither	Yes (Ref: 32/2011/114 29 – 49 dwellings)	
		Site not subject to sustainability checks as has an extant planning permission.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC036						Neither	No	
		The site has positive attributes with respect the site being at low risk of flooding and surface water flooding, the nearby Glusburn Park offering children's play space and open space provision. Key sustainability impacts affecting the potential for allocation of this site include the site's distance from Crosshills village centre, and the site being Grade 3 agricultural land.						
SC037						Favourable	No	
		Significant issues arise in regard to economic development potential, flood risk and the exploration of highways safety and congestion mitigation opportunities related to wellbeing, carbon emissions / air / noise pollution objectives. In addition the site is over 1km away from open space and is over 400m from children's play space. More minor issues affecting this site include grade 3 agricultural land. The strategic importance of this site in delivery of a new bridge is also fundamental. Positive attributes in relation to this site include proximity to Crosshills centre and potential for CHP.						
SC039						Favourable	No	
		Main sustainability concerns related to this site include flood risk and surface water drainage issues, potential employment allocation, increased highways congestion as well as the grade 3 agricultural value of the site. Positive attributes include proximity to Crosshills village centre and potential for CHP.						
SC052						Unfavourable	No	
		In general the site offers minor negative sustainability impacts, with positive attributes in respect of play space and open space, and parts of the site being located within 800m of Crosshills village centre. However, minor parts of the site are located within Flood Risk Zones 2 and 3a, and grade 3 agricultural land, the site would impact on the green wedge.						
SC055						Neither	No	
		The main sustainability impacts relevant to this site are the grade 3 agricultural value of the site. Consideration would also need to be given to the adjacent Grade II listed cottage. Positives exist in relation to proximity to Crosshills village centre, the land being at low risk of flooding, its infill potential and the nearby proximity to Glusburn Park and potential for recycling of materials.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC058						Neither	No	
		There are a number of negative sustainability impacts which affect the site including the site lying beyond 800m of Crosshills village centre, distance from children's play space (albeit it may be possible to accommodate this on site), flood risk with parts of the site being located within flood risk zone 3a, the land being grade 3 agricultural land and the site being within the setting of a Grade II listed building.						
SC060						Neither	No	
		There are positive attributes with respect the site in terms of its low flood risk. However, negative aspects include distance from Crosshills village centre, distance from children's play space, and the grade 3 agricultural value of the land. There are also uncertainties with regards the setting of the nearby listed building.						
SC061						Neither	No	
		The site presents a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Crosshills village centre, distance to children's play space as well as the grade 3 agricultural value of the land.						
SC062						Neither	No	
		The site offers positive sustainability attributes with regards flood risk and proximity to Crosshills village centre. Negative sustainability impacts include distance from children's play space (albeit the site lies within 400m of proposed play space at Green lane) and the grade 3 agricultural value of the land, there is also some uncertainty over the setting of nearby heritage assets.						
SC067						Unfavourable	No	
		Positive attributes include distance from play space and open space, the distance from Crosshills village centre, the sites location within an area of low flood risk and surface water flooding risk. Minor negative sustainability issues relate to the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value.						
SC070						Neither	No	
		The key sustainability issue which would affect allocation of this site is its distance from Crosshills village centre. The site is also located beyond 400m of children's play space and the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value. Positive attributes of this site are its proximity to open space and location within flood risk zone 1.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC071						Unfavourable	No	
		The site offers generally positive sustainability attributes including proximity to Crosshills village centre, the presence of open space and play space nearby, the site being located within flood risk zone 1 and the absence of surface water flooding issues. There are minor sustainability issues with regards the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value.						
SC072						Neither	No	
		The site lies within 800m of Crosshills village centre and is located within proximity of open space and is located within flood risk zone 1 with low risk of surface water flooding. The site is brownfield. The site is located beyond 400m of children's play space and is too small to offer facilities on site.						
SC078						Neither	Yes (Ref: 32/2013/137 58 – 5 dwellings)	
		This site has existing consent for 6 units. The site offers a number of sustainability attributes including its location within Crosshills village centre, flood risk and brownfield land whilst presenting negative sustainability impacts in terms of distance to children's play space.						
SC081						Formed part of SC014 at 2013 summer engagement	No	
		There are a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Crosshills village centre, the absence of children's play space nearby (although development of a nearby site would provide satisfactory access). The site also suffers from low vulnerability surface water flood risk, the land is of Grade 3 agricultural value and is within the setting of a Grade II listed building.						

Settlement: Sutton in Craven							
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
Preferred Sites for Consultation							
SC030		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no known flooding or highway safety issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC need to be investigated further.				Favourable	No
SC040		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no known flooding issues. Issues relating to the lack of pavements along Sutton Lane may pose a threat to pedestrians; and the site has been identified as potential for economic development. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.				Favourable	No
Sites not preferred for consultation							
SC025		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no known flooding or highway safety issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.				Favourable	No
SC041		The main sustainability concern of this site relates to the high risk of flooding. Development of the site could only be achieved following appropriate sequential and exception testing. There are also concerns regarding the loss of good grazing land and the contribution the site makes to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.				Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC042						Favourable	No	
		The main sustainability concern of this site relates to the high risk of flooding. Development of the site could only be achieved following appropriate sequential and exception testing. There are also concerns regarding the loss of good grazing land and the contribution the site makes to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC043						Unfavourable	No	
		The main sustainability concern of this site relates to the high risk of flooding to the north of the site. A recent planning application (dismissed at appeal) however suggests that any future housing would be located outside the flood risk zone. There are also concerns regarding the loss of good grazing land and the contribution the site makes to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is also in close proximity to a church and burial ground which provides a tranquil setting that is valued by residents of Sutton. Conversely, the site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC044						Unfavourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues (community feedback however suggests there is flood potential as water from hills drains onto site). The site is beyond a 400m walk to children's playspace; development of the site would result in the loss of good grazing land; and the site offers a valuable contribution to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC045						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues. Slight concerns exist regarding the loss of a small parcel of good grazing land. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC046						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues. Some concerns exist regarding the loss of a good grazing land. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC047						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues (although community feedback suggest there are flooding issues on site). The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However, some concerns exist regarding the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC048						Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no flood risk issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However, some concerns exist regarding the known highways safety issue along Ellers Road. The site which lies partially within the Sutton in Craven Conservation Area is also adjacent to two grade II listed buildings and at present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is unknown. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC050						Unfavourable	No	
		The main sustainability concern of this site relates to the high risk of flooding. Development of the site could only be achieved following appropriate sequential and exception testing. There are also concerns regarding the proximity to children's playspace, the loss of good grazing land and the contribution the site makes to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
SC057		This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 66/2013/13537 - Outline for 10 dwellings)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
SC066						Neither	No
SC069						Neither	No
SC073						Neither	No
SC075						Neither	Yes (part of site. Ref: 66/2014/14362 – 1 dwelling)

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
SC076		This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to planning permission on site.					Neither	Yes (Ref: 66/2009/9440 – 1 dwelling)
SC077		This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to site size (below 0.1ha).					Neither	No
SC079		The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the south of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					Neither	No
SC080		The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highways safety issues. The site, which lies within the Sutton in Craven Conservation Area also has a grade II listed building within its curtilage, and at present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. The site also partially lies within Flood Zone 3a and sequential testing should be applied. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					Neither	No

Settlement: Cowling									
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check						Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts				
Options Sites for Consultation									
CW004							Favourable	No	
		This site is located within a 800m walk of local facilities and a 400m walk to recreational facilities and has no flooding or highways safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							
CW005							Unfavourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Potential concern regarding the former use of part of the site as a sewerage works and whether there is associated contamination constraints. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							
CW006							Favourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							
CW008							Favourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							
CW010							Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							
CW011							Neither	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.							

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts		
Sites not preferred for consultation							
CW001						Neither	No
CW002						Favourable	No
CW003						Unfavourable	No
CW007						Favourable	No
CW016						Unfavourable	No
CW017						Neither	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts			
CW018						Neither	No	
		The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues, and there is potential for the re-use of existing buildings on site. The site is located to the south-west of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
CW020						Unfavourable	No	
		The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Some concerns exist in relation to the site's proximity to children's playspace. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						
CW023		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.					Favourable	No
CW024		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 10894 – 1 dwelling)
CW025		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as a planning approval for 6 dwellings (ref: 22/2011/11585) exists on site.					Favourable	Yes (Ref: 22/2011/11585 – 6 dwellings),
CW026		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.					Favourable	Yes (Ref 22/2012/12631 – 3 dwellings)
CW027						Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No	
		The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the north-east of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk of local facilities and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.						