

## **Annexe 2: Summary of comments received on initial consultations on methodology and potential Sites**

Ref	Consultee	Comment	Response
04	Yorkshire & Humber Assembly – Harriet Fisher, Planning Policy Officer	Currently looking at commissioning a project to look at progress with SHLAAs across the region and collate best practice. .	Noted. The Council subsequently met with the Assembly’s consultants.
015	United Utilities, Asset Protection – David Hardman	Contact details given	Noted.
047	Mick Repath – North Yorkshire Police	<p>Currently undertaking a strategic review of its requirements in terms of policing and hence its estate requirements. Looking to establish a presence at a number of locations, ideally with key partners, within the local communities to make us accessible as possible to the public. Once these locations are confirmed and the services are available, this is likely to free up a number of existing properties for disposal and potential redevelopment opportunities for other uses, including residential. This strategy is due for presentation at the end of November and will give a more clear understanding of requirements, and the timescales for establishing new locations and which properties to dispose of.</p> <p>An initial meeting has discussed potential opportunities with Craven District Council . Should be able to give an indication of intentions relating to property portfolio in December.</p>	Noted. We shall include police stations for consideration as potential housing and employment sites within the next stage of the study, and consult again on draft sites.
048	United Utilities, Property Solutions – Jenny Hope, Assistant Plan	All United Utilities sites within the Craven District are operational and will remain so for the foreseeable future.	Note
048	Mr Phillip Baker	<p><b>General comments</b></p> <p>One asks who or what is Yorkshire &amp; Humberside Regional spatial strategy? It is rather contradictory and is only mentioning block sites How much development is casual (from past records) is it expected to grow? Remain constant? Reduce? It is all common sense stuff but there are areas where it could be use by some to force up cots to their own advantage</p> <p><b>Chapter 1</b></p>	Comments Noted.

Ref	Consultee	Comment	Response
		<p>Other authorities within the district should be involved i.e. National Park Housing Associations – Parishes</p> <p><b>Chapter 2 &amp; 3</b> Appears to assume that those doing the job don't know how and haven't the ability to do the job. This raises the question should they be there in the first place? Leave it to those who know and stop making complications</p>	Appropriate Parish Councils will be consulted on initial sites identified for potential inclusion in the SHELAA (see Annexes)
046	Highways Agency – Sarah Watson-Quirk	No comments	Noted.
024	Sport England – Jayne Whitaker	<p>The methodology proposed is comprehensive and should be able to identify these sites which have development potential. Any sites which have current use for open space and sports and recreation should not be built upon unless an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space or the buildings and land to be surplus to requirements (PPG17 Para.10). For open space 'surplus to requirements' should include consideration of all the functions that open space can perform.</p> <p>Important that any sites identified as having potential for development, which are currently used for open space or the buildings and land used for sports and recreational use, are sent for comment, along with a justification of why this land is considered to be surplus to requirements.</p> <p>It is also important to keep us up to date where owners or developers of land have put forward sites for development and these may have implications for sport recreation.</p>	Noted. Sports England will be consulted on all potential sites as part of the next stage of the study.
002	David Carter – Natural England	<p>Referred to <i>Environmental Quality in Spatial Planning'</i> (The Countryside Agency, English Heritage, English Nature and the Environment Agency, 2005) and the <i>Supplementary Files</i>, which contain the integrated views of the agencies' approach to the spatial planning system.</p> <p>Overall, Natural England is satisfied that the approach taken to develop the methodology as set out in Section 3.</p> <p>Natural England is suggests that the Council adopts a hierarchical approach to assessing constraints informed by the Environmental Capacity Study (Natural England will be commenting separately on this draft Study). The list of constraints should have regard to the 'Designated areas' as listed in</p>	Comments Noted. Constraints Mapping including appropriate environmental factors ( and the Council's recently completed Draft Environmental Capacity Study for key settlements )will be used to determine potential housing and employment sites during the next stage of the study.

Ref	Consultee	Comment	Response
		<p>Supplementary File 14 of <i>Environmental Quality in Spatial Planning</i>,</p> <p>Any assessment of landscape quality should be based on existing landscape character assessments undertaken by your Authority and it should be recognised that some landscape types have limited capacity to accept new developments. This will be of particular relevance to sites located around the 'Local Service Centres', away from the core urban area.</p> <p>With regard to the later stage of the process, not be able to offer any further advice on site and service constraints, how they may be overcome and at what cost over and above existing published information on designated sites in and around the Craven area and the information provided previously on the consultations on Craven District Council Local Development Framework Allocations Development Plan Documents Assessment of Physical Constraints of Sites – Batches 1- 3, during 2006 and earlier this year.</p>	
016	Councillor Mark Wheeler	<p><b>Chapter 3</b></p> <p>Unsure of viability of including Kildwick/low Bradley in list of larger villages – employment site potential – negligible.</p>	The villages have been include in the SHELAA in accordance with the emerging core strategy settlement strategy.
051	Michael Dean Wigglesworth Parish Meeting	<p><b>Section1, Section3, Section5, Section7</b></p> <p><b>Comments on Methodology:</b> There appears to be no commitment to local consultation or input, which is vital for this whole subject matter. Partnerships and/or consultations with Parish Councils and Meetings is vital as they are best placed to understand the local context and local needs.</p> <p><b>General Comments:</b> - This Paper lacks any inspiration or vision for how this subject could be best handled for the District, and seems simply to be willing to hide behind government guidance rather than what is actually needed locally.</p>	Appropriate Parish Councils will be consulted on initial sites identified for potential inclusion in the SHELAA (see Annexes)
052	Zulfiqar Ali – Environment Agency	<p>No comments to make at this stage. Consultation to PPS25 and in particular undertaking the sequential testing of sites for residential and commercial development will need to be undertaken. In addition PPS23 and PPS9 will need to be consulted when examining allocation of sites.</p> <p>Land ownership within Craven District is limited and no land is considered appropriate for residential or commercial development.</p>	Comments Noted. Flood Risk based on the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be a key constraint when identifying potential development sites. The EA will be consulted again at the next stage of the study to address particular flood risk issues. Noted

Ref	Consultee	Comment	Response
053	British Waterways – Martyn Coy	British Waterways have no potential sites within your area.	Noted
035	Yorkshire Water – Mathew Naylor	I have previously sent information on three sites which we think would be suitable for development. However, none of these sites have been included in the consultation on the above document sent out on the 20 <sup>th</sup> December.  (maps and brief description of sites are attached in original e-mail)	These sites were submitted as part of the Council's early work on the Site Allocations Development Plan Document. These submissions will be reviewed as part of this study.
030	Yorkshire Forward – Jon Palmer	The Agency has no surplus land holdings within Craven District.  When assessing the suitability of potential sites through the Strategic Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessment it will be important to take account of the proposals contained within the initial business plans for Settle and Skipton, which were produced through Yorkshire Forward's Renaissance Market Towns programme.	Noted.
054	Network Rail – Nicola Holmes	Already suggested the inclusion of land to the rear of the Ambulance Station, Cammock Lane, Settle. No further potential surplus sites at present.	Noted. The site referred to has been included in the SHELAA.