## Craven Local Plan Draft 22/9/14 Sites Preferred and Not Preferred for Consultation

This document relates to sites that have been considered for future housing and mixed housing and employment development, as part of the preparation of the draft Craven Local Plan. A large number of sites have been put forward to the Council by interested parties e.g., landowners.

During summer 2013 the Council organised a series of drop-in meetings with residents, businesses and organisations in the plan area and asked for information and views about these possible sites for development. Feedback gathered at these meetings has helped shape the current draft of the local plan. Those sites that are above 0.1ha in size were then subject to preliminary sustainability checks. Sites have also been checked to see if they have any planning permission on them. Where either the entire or the majority of the site has planning permission, preliminary sustainability checks have not been carried out.

The combination of all this work has helped the Council to identify sites that are preferred for consultation in the draft local plan. They have been earmarked to provide housing or a mixture of housing and employment uses. Within some settlements a number of sites have been identified as options for future housing development (yellow sites). Consultation on the draft local plan will be an opportunity for people to provide feedback on which of these options might be best.

This document sets out a summary of the preliminary sustainability checks carried out, whether the site was viewed as favourable, unfavourable or neither during the summer 2013 engagement and if any of the sites have planning permission. This information is presented by settlement and sites have been organised into those preferred and those not preferred for consultation.

This document accompanies the draft local plan and will hopefully be useful in helping to formulate feedback on the policies and sites contained within the draft plan.

Settlement: I	ligh Bentham		
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts   Potential minor positive impacts   Potential neutral impacts   Potential minor negative impacts   Potential minor n		
Preferred Site	for Consultation		
HB011	The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk or known highway	Favourable	No
	safety issues. The site is also brownfield in nature and presents opportunities for the re-		
	use of buildings and materials. The site is beyond a 400m walk to children's playspace		
	and 1000m to public open space		
HB023	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site (however community feedback suggests that flooding may be an issue on site). Some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land, the proximity to public open space, and the proximity to children's playspace, which when accessed via the road network is more than a 400m walking distance.	Favourable	No
HB028	There is a minor positive outcome for flood risk; there are three minor negative	Neither	No
(option)	outcomes for loss of good agricultural land, proximity to a biodiversity site and potential impact on tranquillity; and there is one major negative for loss of employment potential.		
HB030	There are four minor positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk, carbon	Neither	No
(option)	emissions and waste; and one minor negative for loss of good agricultural land.		
Sites not prefe	rred for consultation		
HB001	The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is also brownfield in nature and presents opportunities for the reuse of buildings and materials. Some concerns exist regarding the proximity to children's playspace and public open space.	Neither	No
HB003	The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is also partially brownfield in nature and presents some opportunities for the re-use of materials. Half of the site is currently identified as important open space in the Council's adopted Local Plan and may be worthy of future protection. The site is beyond 400m walk to playspace and 1000m to public open space.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 08/2007/755 1 – 1 dwelling)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability	/ Check		Community	Site has	
Reference	significant mir	ential Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HB006	One major negative risk and carbon emis	exists for health and wellbein ssions.	g and two minor pos	sitives for flood	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 08/2003/371 3 – 3 dwellings)
HB008		utcomes for town-centre vital ons and waste; and one majo			Favourable	No
HB013	This site was not sulpermission on site.	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.				
HB014	also brownfield in na materials. However relation to the effect	The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk issues. The site is also brownfield in nature and presents opportunities for the re-use of buildings and materials. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the effect development would have on the tranquil setting of the adjacent burial ground. Concerns also exist regarding the proximity to children's playspace and				No
HB017	negative outcomes f	utcomes for reuse of brownfic or loss of employment potent come tends towards the nega	ial, health and wellb		Neither	No
HB020		sitive for reuse of brownfield loss of employment potential,			Favourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability	Community	Site has				
Reference	Potential Pote positive impacts		Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)	
HB022	known highways safe feedback suggests the exacerbated with any	The site is well related to existing services and recreation facilities, and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site (however community feedback suggests that surface water drainage issues in the area could be exacerbated with any new development). However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity of public open space.					
HB024	recorded flood risk is	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. Development of this site could present negative sustainability effects in relation to the loss of good grazing land and public space					
HB025	recorded flood risk is neutral or positive su potential negative ou	d to existing services and sues on site. As such destainability effects. How comes in relation to the splayspace and public of	evelopment of this site rever some concerns e loss of good grazing la	could present xist as there are	Neither	No	
HB026	The site is well relate recorded flood risk is negative outcomes in	proximity to children's playspace and public open space.  The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land, the effect on the tranquil setting of the adjacent burial ground, and the proximity to children's playspace and public open space.					
HB027		tive outcome for flood ri two major negatives for			Neither	No	
HB029	Outcomes are mostly negative for loss of g	neutral, but with a majo ood agricultural land.	or negative for flood risl	k and a minor	Favourable	No	

Site	Preliminary Sustain	nability Check		Community	Site has		
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HB031	and wellbeing,		ve for reuse of br	f employment pote ownfield land and t te.		Neither	No
HB032		minor positives for ss of good agricult		eing and flood risk	; and one minor	Favourable	No
HB033	recorded flood may be an issu in relation to th which is more t	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site (although community feedback suggests flooding may be an issue). However concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace which is more than a 400m walking distance. The site also provides a tranquil setting adjacent to the burial ground to the north that is valued by residents of Bentham and					No
HB035		This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Yes (Ref: 08/2011/115 60 – Outline)
HB036	concerns exist walk to local se car journeys to	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. Various concerns exist as the site is located to the north of the town centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. The site is also beyond 1000m to public open space. Development of the site would result in the loss of a small parcel of grade 3 agricultural land.			Neither	No	
HB038				; two minor negativ najor negative for h		Favourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HB039	The site is well related to local services and has no significant flood risk issues.  Development of the site would need to include pavement provision. No mitigation measures have been submitted to date. The site is also beyond a 400m walk to children's playspace and beyond 1000m to public open space.	Unfavourable	No
HB040	The site has no significant flood risk issues. The site is located to the east of the town centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. It also lies beyond 1000m of public open space. Development of the site may also exacerbate a local highways safety issue in the vicinity, namely poor pavement provision. No mitigation measures have been submitted to date.	Unfavourable	No
HB041	There are two minor positive outcomes for flood risk and reuse of brownfield land, but three major negatives for equality, health and wellbeing and carbon emissions.	Unfavourable	No
HB042	There is a minor positive outcome for flood risk, but two minor negative outcomes for equality and carbon emissions and a major negative for health and wellbeing. The overall outcome is therefore negative.	Unfavourable	No
HB043	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land, the proximity to children's playspace, which is more than a 400m walking distance, and the proximity to public open space.	Neither	No
HB044	The site is well related to existing services and recreation facilities, and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to public open space.	Favourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustain	Community	Site has				
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HB045	located to the e	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the east of the town centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. The site is also beyond a 1000m walk to public open space.					Yes (Ref: 08/2014/144 52 – conversion of holiday cottages to residential)
HB046	facilities, and h sustainability e	The site is well related to existing services, public open space and recreational facilities, and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The only negative sustainability effect would be the potential impact on the quality of the townscape in this area should the trees covered by a TPO on site have to be removed.					No
HB047		There is one major negative outcome for health and wellbeing, but three minor positives for flood risk, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions.					No
HB0048		There is one minor positive for flood risk, but one major negative for health and wellbeing and one minor negative for loss of good agricultural land.				Favourable	No
HB050	This site was no permission on		inability checks a	as there is an existi	ng planning	Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2013/138 08 - 2 dwellings)
HB051	This site was n permission on	•	inability checks a	as there is an existi	ng planning	Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2010/111 33 – 2 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential potential positive impacts Potential positive impacts Potential potential positive impacts Potential	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HB052	The site is well related to existing services and recreation facilities, and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the extensive loss of good grazing land and the proximity to public open space.	Made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
HB053	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace and public open space.	Made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: I	ow Bentham		
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check  Potential significant positive impacts positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  regative impacts	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Site	s for Consultation		
LB010 (option)	A positive aspect of the site includes the fact that it is within flood zone 1. Development of the site would result in the loss of grade 3 grazing land, which would have a minor negative effect in terms of sustainability. The site is also more than 400m from the children's play space on Doctor's Hill.	Favourable	No
LB015	Positive aspects of the site include the fact that it is 400m of the children's play space and is within flood zone 1. The only negative aspect is that the site is grade 3 agricultural land.	Favourable	No
LB021 (option)	Positive aspects of this site include the fact that it is within 400m from the children's play space, and that it is within flood zone 1. A concept statement and scheme has been submitted by the agent showing retention of the existing public footpath along the western boundary, access for Bentham TC to the former Low Bentham Primary School playing fields, protection of the existing woodland on the south of the site. CDC planning policy officers consider the concept statement and scheme for this site adequately addresses preservation of the setting of a nearby listed building.	Neither	No
LB024 (option)		Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
LB025 (option)		Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustaina	ability Check				Community	Site has	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)	
Sites not prefer	red for consultation							
LB007	of the site would 400m from the	A positive aspect of the site includes the fact that it is within flood zone 1. Development of the site would result in the loss of grade 3 grazing land. The site is also more than 400m from the children's play space on Doctor's Hill. Note this site has been subject to the granting of planning permission since sustainability checks were carried out.						
LB008	space and is wi	thin flood zone 1. land, which woul	Development o d have a minor r	t is within 400m from f the site would resu negative effect in ter om the children's pla	ult in the loss of ms of		No	
LB009	space and is with and ponds are a would result in the effect in terms of	thin flood zone 1. a UK Biodiversity he loss of grade	In terms of biod Action Plan Prio 3 grazing land, w n respect of the	is within 400m from liversity the site has rity Habitat. Develop rhich would have a r rest of the objective	a pond within it oment of the site minor negative	Neither	No	
LB011	A negative aspectified children's play sustainability. A majority of the s	ect of the site incl space, which wou Although the site i site forms part of t	ude the fact that ld result in a sigr s within an area he residential cu	the site is more thar nificant negative imp of Grade 3 Agricultu Irtilage for Green He e objectives the site	eact in terms of ural Land, the ead Cottages and is	Neither	No	
LB012	space, however scheme. The si part paddock, th	. given the size of ite is grade 3 agrinerefore loss of the is within Flood	the site play spacultural land, howis land to housing	nan 400m from the cace could be provide wever it is part residing would have a minect of the rest of the	ed as part of a ential curtilage and or negative impact.		No	

Site	Preliminary Sustaina	ability Check				Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
LB013	with the school, as part of any furnitial to serve however in the partially brown	The negative aspect of the site is that it contains playing fields that were associated with the school, however given the size of the site play space could be retain/provided as part of any future scheme. Whilst the school is now closed the playing fields have potential to serve a need in the wider community. The site is grade 3 agricultural land, however in the past and currently it is not used as agricultural land and is unlikely to be of importance to local food production. Positively the site is within Flood Zone 1 and is partially brownfield in nature so provides some potential for the reuse of materials. In respect of the rest of the objectives, the site presents neutral impacts.					No
LB014	The positive asp The site is grade land has not get Flood Zone 1. I	e 3 agricultural la nerated any inco	Neither	No			
LB016		Flood Zone 1. In respect of the rest of the objectives the site presents neutral impacts.  This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.					Yes (Ref: 08/2013/132 84 – 1 dwelling)
LB017	aspects of the s	ite are that it is vains some brow . Note planning	vithin 400m of ch nfield land, which permission has b	gnificant negative in ildren's play space, may provide oppo een granted on this	is within flood rtunities for the re-	Neither	Yes (Ref: 08/2011/119 41 – 1 dwelling)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check		Community	Site has
Reference	significant positive neutral ne	ptential minor egative significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
LB018	Negative aspects of the site include the fact that it is more children's play space, however given its size play space 3 agricultural land although the site has previously been for caravans. The eastern portion of the site falls with designation. Information provided by the agent shows retained as a buffer to the railway line and provide entropy contribute to amenity and open space. The positive as flood risk zone 1.	ce could be provided, it is grade en used as a certificated location in the Green Wedge Local Plan the south west corner of the site nanced biodiversity value and	Favourable	No
LB019	The area of the site is under 0.1ha and therefore was checks	not subject to sustainability	Neither	No
LB022	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as th permission on site.	ere is an existing planning	Neither	Yes (together with LB007, ref: 08/2014/143 86 – 4 dwellings)
LB023			Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: I	ngleton						
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)				
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  significant negative impacts						
Preferred Site	s for Consultation						
IN009	The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2014/145 38 – 15 affordable dwellings)				
IN028	This site presents positive attributes in terms of low flood risk and proximity to Ingleton village centre. However, there are negative impacts in terms of the site being potentially attractive to the second homes market and the site's proximity to a SSSI and SAC.						
IN033	There are a number of positive attributes with regards this site including proximity to Ingleton village centre, low flood risk. However, there are also negative aspects which include distance from children's play space, potential attraction to the second homes market and proximity to a SSSI and SAC. There are also uncertainties with regards impacts on heritage assets.	Favourable	No				
Sites not prefe	erred for consultation						
IN006	There are a number of negative sustainability impacts present including the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market, distance from children's play space, and potential impacts upon a SSSI and SAC. However, there are also positive attributes including, proximity to Ingleton village centre, low flood risk and the brownfield status of the land.						
IN008	The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2010/107 58 – 28 dwellings)				

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
IN010	This site offers positive attributes with regards proximity to Ingleton village centre, and the potential recycling of materials. However, there are negative impacts including distance from children's play space, the sites potential attraction to the second homes market, and proximity to Meal Bank Quarry SSSI.	Neither	No
IN012	The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2014/143 34 – 6 dwellings for affordable rent)
IN015	There are positive attributes with regards this site in terms of proximity to Ingleton village centre and the low flood risk of the site. However, negative impacts include the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market and distance from children's play space.	Neither	No
IN016	The site is negative in respect of the majority being subject to high flood risk. A positive attribute of this site is the proximity to Ingleton village centre.	Unfavourable	No
IN022	This site represents a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Ingleton village centre, its potential for employment allocation and the high levels of flood risk across the site. However, the site is of a scale that may offer opportunity for on site provision.	Neither	No
IN029	This site offers positive attributes in terms of low flood risk for much of the site. However, there are negative aspects which include distance from Ingleton village centre, potential attraction for the second homes market, distance from children's play space (although it may be possible to accommodate on site provision), the site's location within 2km of an SAC, and potential impacts on views from the National Park.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 45/2009/101 83 – 24 affordable dwellings – part site)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential neutral impacts Potential potential neutral impacts Potential negative impacts Potential negative impacts Potential negative negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
IN031	This site presents a number of significant negative sustainability impacts including potential for employment allocation, distance from Ingleton village centre, the site's potential attraction to the second homes market, distance from children's play space (although it may be possible to provide on-site provision), high flood risk, and uncertainty with regards townscape and integration with the village.	Neither	No
IN034	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as the remaining undeveloped part of this site that doesn't have consent is a small area covered by a group of TPO's.	Neither	Yes (Refs: 45/2002/2284, 45/2003/3724, 45/2003/2918, 45/2009/9982 – 11 dwellings total)
IN035	This site raises a number of negative sustinability impacts including distance from Ingleton village centre, potential for employment allocation, distance from children's play space (although the scale of the site may enable on site provision), and part of the site being located within flood risk zone 3a.	Neither	No
IN037	This site presents some positive sustainability attributes including proximity to Ingleton village centre, low flood risk and some potential for recycling of materials. However, there are also negative aspects which include the attractiveness of the site to the second homes market, distance from children's play space and proximity to a SSSI.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2003/3030 – 1 dwelling)
IN041	This site presents positive sustainability impacts with regards low flood risk. However, there are a number of negative aspects which include distance from Ingleton village centre and play space. The potential of the site to attract the second homes market. There are also uncertainties with regards townscape and integration.	Neither	No
IN043	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area under 0.1ha and has an implemented consent for four dwellings (ref: 45/2008/8888).	Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2008/8888 – 4 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential neutral impacts Potential potential neutral impacts Potential potential negative impacts Potential potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
IN044	The site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an extant planning on site	. Neither	Yes (Ref: 45/2012/13154 - 7 dwellings)
IN045	The site presents positive sustainability impacts in respect of its brownfield status.  However, negative aspects exist in relation to distance to children's play space and Ingleton village centre as well as employment.	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN046	The site presents positive attributes with respect to its low flood risk and opportunity to improve the existing townscape, as well as the brownfield nature of the land. There are however, negative aspects relative to distance from Ingleton village centre, distance from children's play space and the loss of an existing employment use.	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN047	The low flood risk of the site is a positive attribute. However, negative attributes exist with regards distance from Ingleton village centre. There are also uncertainties with regards how the development would be integrated with the existing village.	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No
IN048	The site presents positive attributes in respect of the majority of the land being within flood risk zone 1. However, there are negative aspects of this site which include distance from Ingleton village centre. Uncertainty also exists with regards townscape.	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: E	urton-in-Lonsdale		
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  regative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Site	s for Consultation		
BU001	This site is generally considered to be minor negative in terms of sustainability impacts.  Positive attributes include proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and low flood risk. Negative impacts include existing distance from children's play space (although the site is of a sufficient size to accommodate new on-site provision), the potential for the site to have high levels of second home ownership and the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value.	Favourable	No
BU008	This site raises major negative sustainability impacts overall. Positive attributes include its proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and the western part of the site being of low flood risk. However, there are negative impacts in terms of distance to children's play space, the potential for the site to be attractive to the second homes market, grade 3 agricultural land, high flood risk on the eastern portion of the site, potential townscape impacts and uncertainties in relation to impacts on the adjacent Grade II listed buildings.	Neither	No
BU009	The site raises major negative sustainability impacts. The positive attributes in respect of this site are its proximity to children's play space. However, there are several sustainability concerns with parts of the site being located in flood risk zone 3a and 2, access to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre whilst not far requires crossing a narrow bridge without footpath provision.	Favourable	No
Sites not prefe	rred for consultation		
BU006	This site is considered to be generally minor negative in terms of sustainability impacts.  The site has positive attributes in terms of its proximity to Burton-in-Lonsdale village centre and low flood risk. However, there are negative aspects in relation to the site being potentially attractive to the second homes market, grade 3 agricultural land value.	Neither	No
BU011	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area of under 0.1ha.	Favourable	Yes (15/2011/11 808 – 1 dwelling)

Settlement: Se	ttle		
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  regative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Sites	for Consultation		
SG018	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land, heritage assets, carbon emissions and waste; and negative outcomes for loss of employment potential, equality and flood risk. The overall outcome tends towards the positive, albeit with a major flood-risk drawback to be addressed.	Favourable	No
SG025	There are positive outcomes for businesses/employment, health and wellbeing and biodiversity; and a negative outcome for loss of good agricultural land. The overall outcome tends towards the positive and is aided by the landowner's positive development intentions, as illustrated in his concept plans. Outcomes might be improved further, if walking distances to the town centre could be addressed.	Unfavourable	No
SG027	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing and flood risk; a negative outcome for the loss of some Grade 3 agricultural land; and an uncertain outcome for the effect on the Yorkshire Dales National Park.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 62/2012/130 51 – 4 dwellings)
SG029	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk and re-use of brownfield land; neutral in other respects; and uncertain regarding the likely effect on the setting of Settle Conservation Area.	Neither	Part of site forms part of wider consent on SG030, ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
SG032	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; a minor negative outcome for flood risk; and an uncertain outcome for heritage assets.	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SG035	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk, reuse of brownfield land, heritage assets, carbon emissions and waste; and minor negative outcomes for loss of employment potential and equality.	Neither	No
SG042	There are positive outcomes for vitality, health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; a major negative outcome for loss of employment potential; a minor negative outcome for flood risk; and uncertain outcomes for heritage and townscape.	Neither	No
SG053	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, flood risk, reuse of brownfield land, carbon emissions and waste; a negative outcome for loss of employment potential; and uncertain outcomes for heritage and townscape.	Favourable	No
SG065	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and waste; and negative outcomes for loss of economic development potential and flood risk.	Neither	No
SG068	There is a major positive outcome for health and wellbeing; there are minor negative outcomes for flood risk and loss of some Grade 3 agricultural land.; and an uncertain outcome for impact on the national park.	Neither	No
SG074	There are positive outcomes for health and wellbeing, reuse of brownfield land and carbon emissions; and negative outcomes for flood risk and biodiversity. The outcome for businesses/employment is uncertain, largely because the question of viability (employment development versus mixed-use development) is unresolved at present.	Neither	No
Sites not prefe	rred for consultation		
SG026	There is a minor positive outcome for flood risk, a minor negative for equality and a major negative for health and wellbeing.	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SG028	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.	Favourable	Site subject to long planning history (overall 23 dwellings – most recent application ref: 62/2014/148 00)
SG030	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (together with parts of SG029 and SG031 – ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
SG031	Outcomes are mostly neutral or indeterminate with one minor negative for flood risk.	Neither	Yes (part of site forms part of wider consent on SG030, ref: 62/2011/117 89 – 60 extra care units)
SG061	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2013/135 90 – 37 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential positive impacts Potential Potential neutral impacts Potential Potential negative impacts Potential potential negative impacts Potential negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SG066	Outcomes are mostly neutral, with a positive outcome for flood risk and negative outcomes for access to children's play space and loss of good agricultural land.	Favourable	No
SG069	There are positive outcomes for town centre vitality, health and wellbeing, flood risk, re-use of brownfield land, carbon emissions and waste; minor negative outcomes for loss of employment potential and equality; and uncertain outcomes for heritage assets and national park/townscape.	Neither	No
SG075	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site (62/2011/12180 – 4 dwellings).	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 62/2011/121 80 – 4 dwellings)
SG076	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2007/813 6 – 5 dwellings)
SG077	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as there is an existing planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 62/2010/111 38 – 5 dwellings)

Settlement: 0			
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Site	for Consultation		
SG014	Outcomes are largely neutral, but with two minor positives for health and wellbeing and flood risk.	Favourable	No
SG015	Outcomes are largely neutral with minor positives for health and wellbeing and flood risk.	Neither	No
Sites not prefe	red for consultation		
SG004	There are positive outcomes for access to play space and reuse of some brownfield land, but several minor negatives for equality, flood risk, biodiversity and townscape, plus an uncertain outcome for heritage assets. Other outcomes are neutral.	Unfavourable	No
SG010	Outcomes are mostly neutral or uncertain, with one positive for access to play space and two minor negatives for equality and flood risk.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 31/2011/116 43 – 8 dwellings)
SG012	There are negative outcomes for equality, health and wellbeing and flood risk; no positive outcomes; and an uncertain outcome for heritage assets.	Unfavourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SG013	This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to an existing planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 31/2011/116 40 – 10 dwellings)
SG062	Overall, the outcome is an indeterminate mix of positive (access to play space), negative (equality and flood risk), uncertain (heritage and townscape) and neutral.	Neither	No
SG071	There are positive outcomes for access to play space, flood risk, brownfield land and waste, but also negative outcomes for equality, biodiversity and tranquillity.	Unfavourable	No
SG072	There are major negatives for equality, health and wellbeing and carbon emissions; and minor negatives for loss of Grade 3 agricultural land and impact on townscape/landscape.	Favourable	No
SG073	Outcomes are largely neutral with one minor positive for flood risk and one major negative for health and wellbeing.	Neither	No

Settlement: He	ellifield							
Site	Preliminary Su	stainability Check				Community	Site has	
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)	
Preferred Sites	for Consultation							
HE013	children's	as positive attributes in play space and low floor ality given the adjacent	od risk. Any schem	e in this location d	•	Favourable	No	
Sites not prefer	red for consultati	ion						
HE001		This site does not present any major negative sustainability issues. Positive attributes include proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space.						
HE004	The primary concern that would affect allocation of this site is that the majority of the site lies within Flood Risk Zone 3a, as such an exception test and further liaison with the Environment Agency would be required. Furthermore, whilst not lying within 500m buffer of the SSSI of Pan Beck Fen, the beck which runs through the middle of the site leads straight to the SSSI and therefore consultation with Natural England is required. Positive attributes relating to this site are the proximity to Hellifield village centre and children's play space.							
HE005	The key su Other than	ustainability issue affect this however, the site prownfield land, proxim	scores generally v	vell on other sustai	nability matters	Neither	No	
HE007	Parish Chu relative to the majorit taken in th	sustainability impact re urch and any tranquillity this site including accety of the site is also subset design process regaltion would also need to	y issues. There are so to children's place to low risk of the small ele	e a number of posity by space and Hellif looding (care woul ments in Flood Ris	tive attributes ield village centre, d need to be k Zone 2). Design	Unfavourable	No	

Site	Preliminary Sustain	nability Check				Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
HE008	the location wi	es affecting this s ithin flood risk zo ellifield village ce		Neither	No		
HE009	employment u positively in re and the majori consideration	se and the prese espect of its proxi ity of the site beir does need to be		Favourable	No		
HE011	This site is ma respect of pro- in this location adjacent to the	Neither	No				
HE012	This site was i	not subject to sus	tainability checks	as it is under 0.1ha	in site area.	Neither	No

Ī	Settlement: F	Rathmell						
	Site	Preliminary Susta	inability Check				Community	Site has
	Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
	Preferred Site	s for Consultation						
	RA001	reasonably ag	gainst all objective: ualities and flood ri	s with no significan	n Rathmell, the site t impacts; minor pos gative impact conce	sitive impacts in	Neither	No
<b>t</b>	RA003	In the context reasonably ag in relation to e childrens play notable contri undeveloped	Neither	No				
	Sites not preferred for consultation							
	RA004		orms positively in re rspace / public ope		out negatively conce	erning access to	Favourable	No
	RA005				out negatively conce of Grade 3 agricultur		Unfavourable	No
*	RA006				but concerns are ra nd loss of Grade 3		Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	Yes (Ref: 59/2013/140 49 – 4 dwellings)

## \* Correction, 7th May 2015.

Sites RA003 and RA006 appear under the wrong headings. RA003 should be under "Sites not preferred for consultation" and RA006 should be under "Preferred Sites for Consultation". This error appears in this document only and does not affect the draft Craven Local Plan (22/9/14) itself. On page 82 of the draft plan, sites RA001 and RA006 are correctly identified as draft housing sites.

Settlement: S	Skipton		
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential neutral impacts Potential neutral impacts Potential neutral significant negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
Preferred Sit	es for Consultation		
SK009	This site presents positive sustainability attributes in respect of recycling of materials, low flood risk, proximity to children's play space and proximity to Skipton town centre. There are negative aspects which include proximity to a SINC (local biodiversity site).	Unfavourable	No
SK010	This site offers positive sustainability attributes in respect of proximity of play space and open space, the brownfield nature of the land, the low flood risk and opportunities for recycling. Negative aspects include the proximity of a SINC (local biodiversity designation) and the existing employment use on site.	Favourable	No
SK013	The site is beyond 800m walk to shops and services in the town centre, is likely to encourage additional car journeys and impact on a known highways safety issue.	Favourable	No
SK015	The site is beyond 800m walk to shops and services in the town centre, is likely to encourage additional car journeys and impact on a known highways safety issue.	Favourable	No
SK016	This site has positive aspects in relation to distance from open space and brownfield land whilst presenting minor negative aspects in terms of surface water flooding issues.	Favourable	No
SK034	The site presents positive sustainability attributes with regards proximity to play space and open space, the site's location within a deprived ward, the potential to recycle materials and the low flood risk of the site. A negative relates to the minor employment use located on site.	Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	,
SK044	The site offers positive sustainability attributes in respect of being located within a deprived ward, proximity to play and open space, and low flood risk.	New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK049	A site specific flood risk assessment has been provided that addresses flood risk constraints for a reduced site area. At its nearest points the site is approximately an 800m walk from the railway station and services and facilities in the town centre. This might encourage additional car journeys although the site provides green infrastructure / lower carbon emissions opportunities in enhanced walking / cycling links with the railway station and CHP. The unique scale of the site in a ward of overall deprivation provides particular opportunities in relation to enhancing equality by having a positive impact for particular sections of the community. The site has potential tranquillity / heritage impacts on the adjacent crematorium depending on scheme layout and mix of uses.	Favourable	No
SK051	The site performs satisfactorily against the majority of objectives. It has a significant positive impact in terms of access / proximity to public open space / children's play space. It has a significant negative impact in relation to the flood risk objective although flood zone 3 (not surface water run off) is restricted to the minority western part of the site which could be excluded from any potential site allocation area.	Favourable	No
SK058	Aside from the existing employment use on the site and significant negative impact in terms of children's play space / open space access, the site has significant positive impacts on equalities and use of land objectives and minor positive impacts in terms of flood risk and minimising waste objectives. Further scrutiny is necessary as to the status of current employment use of the site and the impact of loss of the site to employment on the objective to positively support local businesses to grow and residents to attain better paid employment and self-employment.	Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
SK060	Aside from the existing employment use on the site and potential surface water flooding issues at the north of the site, the site has significant positive impacts on equalities, play space / open space accessibility and use of land objectives and a minor positive impact in terms of minimising waste. Further scrutiny is necessary as to the status of current employment use of the site and the impact of loss of the site to employment on the objective to positively support local businesses to grow and residents to attain better paid employment and self-employment.	Favourable	No
SK061	The site performs satisfactorily against most objectives with limited significant impacts. Its distance from Skipton town centre might encourage car use. However, the site's canal side location in an area of overall deprivation, coupled with highway safety mitigation opportunities, represent positive impacts.	Favourable	No
SK080a	The site presents positive impacts in relation to its low flood risk status.  However, there are negative impacts relating to distance to the town centre and the proximity of a nearby SINC.	Unfavourable	No
SK081	The site has a neutral impact on all objectives apart from access to children's play space / public open space (significant positive impact), flood risk (minor positive impact) and biodiversity (potential minor negative impact subject to verifying site checklist).	Unfavourable	No
SK082	The site has positive impacts in relation to flood risk and open space but has negative impacts concerning biodiversity and the distance from town centre facilities.	Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	,
SK086	The site has neutral impacts against most objectives but performs positively in terms of access to public open space / children's play space and has minor negative flood risk issues due to surface water run off issues on a minority of the site and proximity to a SINC.	Favourable	No
SK087	The site has positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space and low flood risk. However, negative aspects include potential for economic development, distance to Skipton town centre and proximity to a SINC (local biodiversity designation), and surface water flooding.	Neither	No
SK090	The site performs well in relation to access to services and facilities including children's play space / public open space. Subject to addressing surface water run off on part of the site, this site has good potential for allocation.	Favourable	No
SK095	The site has negative impacts against a number of objectives.	Favourable	No
SK108	The site presents positive impacts in relation to access to play space and low risk of flooding. However, there are negative impacts relating to distance to the town centre and the proximity of a nearby SINC.	Neither	No
SK113	The site has significant negative impacts in relation to objectives concerning the economy, equalities and carbon emissions	Unfavourable	No
SK120	The site performs reasonably positively but a green outcome here is only appropriate subject to the area of flood zone 3a on the site being removed by either an amended site boundary or a site specific flood risk assessment.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2014/14741 – business use).

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
SK122	This site offers positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space and the sites location within a deprived ward and potential to recycle materials. However, negative aspects include distance to Skipton town centre, potential vulnerability to surface water flooding and the site being an existing employment use.	Favourable	No
SK135	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been submitted as a preferred location for employment use.	New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	Yes (ref 63/2010/10914 – industrial use).
Sites not prefe	erred for consultation		
SK001	The site is distant from children's play space and potentially attractive to the 2nd homes market, the site performs fairly without having significant impacts on any objectives.	Unfavourable	No
SK004	Positive sustainability attributes include the low flood risk of the site and the potential benefits of development on Skipton town centre. However, a number of negatives exist including the potential attractiveness of the site to the second homes market, the distance from children's play space, highways safety and the nearby location of a SINC (local biodiversity designation).	Unfavourable	No
SK007	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13949 – 9 dwellings).
SK014	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	No
SK018	This site presents positive attributes in respect of proximity to play space and open space, the brownfield nature of the site and the opportunities to improve townscape. Negative aspects include surface water flooding vulnerability.	Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
SK020	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	No
SK021	The site performs satisfactorily against the majority of objectives with significant positive impacts in terms of children's play space / open space proximity. The site has mixed equalities impacts being on the one hand in a ward characterised by overall deprivation and on the other hand steep topography on site and highway safety at the mini roundabout junction of Shortbank Road / Brougham Street / Otley Street / Newmarket Street.	Unfavourable	No
SK022	Development of this site offers positive attributes with respect of being located within a deprived ward and being brownfield in nature. The site offers negative aspects in terms of surface water flooding and loss of allotments.	Unfavourable	No
SK033	The site is more than 800m from Skipton town centre and could encourage car use.	Unfavourable	No
SK037	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11998 – 57 dwellings. Covers SK037 and SK038).
SK038	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11998 – 57 dwellings. Covers SK037 and SK038).
SK052	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12292 – 1 dwelling).
SK083	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Unfavourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13748 – outline 49 dwellings).

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
SK084	The site has minor negative impacts concerning equalities, biodiversity and townscape objectives.	Unfavourable	No
SK088	Positive sustainability attributes include proximity to children's play space and open space. Negative aspects include flood risk, distance to Skipton town centre and proximity to a SINC (local biodiversity designation). There is also uncertainty over landscape impacts.	New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK089	The site performs satisfactorily against most objectives but is beyond an 800m walk from services and facilities in the town centre which might disadvantage some households and encourage additional car journeys.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13350 – 103 dwellings).
SK094	Whilst the site has neutral impacts against most objectives, it has significant negative impacts concerning flood risk and carbon emissions.	Favourable	No
SK096	The site presents positive impacts in terms of distance to Skipton town centre. However, there are a number of negative impacts in terms of surface water flooding and townscape and loss of open space.	Unfavourable	No
SK097	This site has negative aspects in relation to distance to the town centre, the site encroaching on a pitch & putt course as well as having surface water flooding issues. There is also uncertainty with regards impacts on landscape and townscape.	Unfavourable	No
SK098	The site raises negative sustainability impacts with regards impacts on surface water flooding. However, there are positive impacts in terms of nearby proximity to play space and open space.	Unfavourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	,
SK099	The site presents negative sustainability impacts in terms of surface water flood risk and employment use. There are positive impacts in terms of access to open space and play space.	Unfavourable	No
SK101	The site raises negative impacts in terms of distance to Skipton town centre and potential for economic development as well as concerns over landscape impacts. However, a positive impact exists in relation to the distance to play space and open space. Overall the site raises major negative sustainability impacts.	Favourable	No
SK103	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Neither	Yes (ref 63/2013/13823 – 2 dwellings).
SK109	This site presents negative impacts in terms of employment use, distance to the town centre, surface water flooding and proximity to a SINC.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12363 – industrial use).
SK111	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13426 – business use).
SK114	The site is positive in respect of proximity to play space and the site's location within a more deprived ward. However, there are negative impacts in terms of distance to the town centre and surface water flood risk.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2013/13167 – outline, 114 dwellings).
SK116	The site performs satisfactorily against most objectives with limited significant impacts. Its distance from Skipton town centre might encourage car use. However, the site's canal side location in an area of overall deprivation represent positive impacts in the event of highway safety issues at the adjacent Horse Close bridge being mitigated in association with development at that site.	Favourable	No

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential negative impacts  Potential neutral impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	. , ,
SK118	The site has significant negative impacts in relation to economic and carbon emissions objectives.	Favourable	No
SK119	The site presents positive attributes in respect of deprivation, proximity to play and open space and low flood risk. There are negative aspects in relation to distance to Skipton town centre and uncertainty over potential landscape impacts.	Favourable	No
SK121	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	No
SK124	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	New Site (post summer 2013 engagement)	No
SK125	This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12841 – outline, 4 dwellings).
SK126	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/12090 – 1 dwelling).
SK127	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	No
SK128	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2011/11854 – 2 dwellings).
SK129	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).	Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12515 – 4 dwellings).

Site Reference	Preliminary Sus	Preliminary Sustainability Check			Community Engagement	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)	
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	
SK130	This site w planning c	as not subject to sonsent.	en granted	Favourable	Yes (refs 63/2008/8257 and 63/2011/11855 – 11 dwellings).		
SK131	Site was n	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).					Yes (ref 63/2011/11504 – 1 dwelling and business use).
SK132		This site was not subject to sustainability appraisal as it has been granted planning consent.				Favourable	Yes (ref 63/2012/12771 – 33 'close care' apartments and 63/2014/14656 – 11 dwellings).
SK133	Site was not subject to sustainability appraisal due to site size (below 0.1ha).				below 0.1ha).	Favourable	No
SK134	The site presents positive impacts in relation to its low flood risk status and proximity to children's play space/ public open space. However, there are negative impacts relating to distance from the town centre, related effects on car use, and potential impacts on highway safety.			there are	Unfavourable	No	

Settlement: 0	Gargrave						
Site Reference	Preliminary Sus  Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Site	s for Consultation						
GA012	having economic purchasers the site include opportunities however du surface was	spects of the site incluing nomic development positions in the 2nd homes maus lude the fact that it is very and that the site is bue to the close proximiter flooding, therefore and may be required.	otential. The site ranket, given its cand vell related to exisorownfield. The sity of the Leeds/Liv	may be attractive to al side location. Pos ting services, recre te is within flood zo verpool Canal there	developers and sitive aspects of ational one 1 (low risk), may be a risk of	Unfavourable	No
GA025	potential fo Positive fea space, it fal issues relat	Negative features of this site relate to the fact that it has been identified as having potential for economic development and that the site is grade 3 agricultural land.  Positive features include good access to existing shops, services and children's play space, it falls within flood zone 1(however there maybe some surface water flooding issues relating to its proximity to the canal) and would have minimal impact on biodiversity and heritage assets.					
GA028	its location flooding iss side locatio site is dista accommod	pects of the site include adjacent to the canal sues. The site is 800m on may be attractive to ance from children's plate play space on site agricultural land.	may mean that the from the shops a the second home ay space, although	ere may be some sond facilities in Garg s market. A negati n opportunities may	urface water grave. The canal ve aspect of the exist to	Favourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainabi	Preliminary Sustainability Check					
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive neutral impacts Potential	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)	
GA029	its location adjact flooding issues. I market. Negative for economic development a schero	of the site include the fact that is cent to the canal may mean that Given the canal side location it re aspects of the site are that it have elopment and is more than 400 me has been submitted including this site would also result in the	there may be some some some some some the attractive to the last been identified as the from the children's an area of public oper	urface water e second homes having potential play space, en space.	Favourable	No	
Sites not pref	erred for consultation						
GA001	for reuse and the space. The site the Leeds/Liverp	Positive aspects of the site include the fact that it is a brownfield site and has potential for reuse and the re-use of materials. The site is also within 400m of children's play space. The site is within flood zone 1 (low risk), however due to the close proximity of the Leeds/Liverpool Canal there may be a risk of surface water flooding. The site is also identified as having economic development potential.				No	
GA002	This site was not	t subject to sustainability check a	as site has planning p	ermission.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 30/2013/133 68 – 6 units)	
GA003	This site was not	t subject to sustainability check o	due to site size (below	0.1ha)	Favourable	No	
GA004	issues and is not distance of existi risk). However of	e features include that it is brown t in a current economic developr ing shops, services and open sp due to the close proximity of the ater flooding. The main negative	ment use, it is also witl ace and falls within flo Leeds/Liverpool Cana	hin walking ood zone 1 (low Il there may be a	Favourable	No	

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
GA005	Positive features of the site are that is well related to existing services and open space, however negative features include that the site is located in the older part of the village, as such, development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers and that the site is more than 400m from the area of children's play space in the village. Details have been provided to demonstrate that harm can be avoided to the setting of the nearby listed buildings and the conservation area. Development of the site may have an impact on the tranquillity of the adjacent St Andrews Church.	Neither	No
GA009	The sites main negative feature is the fact that it is more than 400m from children's play space, however given the sites size opportunities may exist for play space to be provided on the site as part of a scheme. The site is also just over an 800m walk from the village shops etc. In addition the site could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers. Positive features are that the site is within flood zone 1 (low risk), however due to the close proximity of the Leeds/Liverpool Canal there may be a risk of surface water flooding.	Neither	No
GA010	The site is located in the older part of the village, as such, development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers. The site is well related to existing services and open space, however it is more than 400m from the children's play space in the village. A small portion of the south west corner of the site is within flood zone 3b, however the remainder of the site is within flood zone 1. This site is partly Grade 3 agricultural land (DEFRA) and is likely to be of local importance. The site adjoins Gargrave Conservation Area.	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainal	Preliminary Sustainability Check					
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
GA014	development of however some such developm more than 400r	f this site would p concerns exist ent could provide	resent neutral or The site is locate an attractive dr f children's play	vices and public oper r positive sustainabiled in the older part of aw for second home space is within the uildings.	lity effects, of the village, as e buyers. It is	Favourable	No
GA017	that is at the hig required from the can be conside children's play se could be provide would result in Middle Green a	A proportion of the site falls within functional flood plain (FZ3b) and therefore on land that is at the highest risk of flooding. A Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment would be required from the landowner/developer to consider whether the entire site or part of it can be considered for future residential development. The site is not within 400m of a children's play space, however give the size of the site it is possible that play space could be provided on the site as part of a scheme. Allocation of the site for housing would result in the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land and given its location fronting Middle Green and the River Aire would be attractive to developers and purchasers in the 2nd homes market. As a result of these issues development of the site for new					No
GA019	The site is not v		sting services ar	nd recreational oppo	ortunities. The site	Site made available again subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	Yes (Ref: 30/2007/763 7 – 17 holiday chalets – 2 made permanent through 30/2014/142 20)

Site	Preliminary Sustair	Community	Site has				
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
GA020	This site was	not subject to susta	inability checks	as planning permis	sion exists on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 30/2012/132 01 – 29 dwellings
GA021	and 800m fro	m the village's shop ces and recreationa	s and services	nan 400m from child and therefore not we The site would also	ell related to	Neither	No
GA022	uncertainty a which immed proximity to c	lso exists regarding iately abuts the site hildren's play space	its impact upon . Positive feature . During the 20°	om Gargrave village the Yorkshire Dales es include its low flo 13 consultation ever vel than the site the	s National Park ood risk and nts it was indicated	Neither	No
GA023	services and neutral or post flooding in the of the site incode development	that given the fact that the canal is at a higher level than the site the site does flood.  The positive aspects of the site are that the site is well related to Gargrave's shops, services and public open space, therefore development of this site would present neutral or positive sustainability effects, however some concerns exist such as the site flooding in the winter as indicated at the 2013 consultation events. Negative features of the site include the fact that the site is located in the older part of the village where development could provide an attractive draw for second home buyers, it is more than 400m from the area of children's play space, is within the Gargrave Conservation Area					No
GA026	This site was	not subject to susta	inability checks	as planning permis	sion exists on site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 30/2013/134 15 – 3 dwellings)
GA027	play space ai	nd more than 800m	from the village ne positive featu	is more than 400m to service and service are that it falls with the heritage assets.	es. The site is	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustaina	bility Check			Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
GA030	it was indicated Allocation of the local businessed geodiversity, pland pollution, of safeguard miner from children's opportunities to agricultural land		isultation events that this neutral impact in terms he community, protectind landscape, reduce cause waste and increase rede the fact that the site is e size of the site there note as part of a scheme, and increase and increase rede the fact that the site is e size of the site there note as part of a scheme, and increase in the site that the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme, and increase in the site as part of a scheme in	s site floods. of supporting ng biodiversity and rbon emissions recycling and s more than 400m naybe and is grade 3	Unfavourable	No
GA031	the site is that i	pect of the site is that it is wit t is more than 400m from chil ortunities may exist to provide	dren's play space, howe	ever given the size	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: E	mbsay						
Site Reference	Potential significant	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential minor Potential neutral		Potential significant	Community Engagement Feedback	Site has planning permission
	positive impacts				negative impacts	(Summer 2013)	(Yes/No)
Preferred Site	for Consultation						
EM013	flood risk or	well related to existing r known highway safet investigated further.				Favourable	No
EM016	flood risk or	well related to existing rknown highway safet investigated further.				Neither	Yes (Ref 26/2014/145 18 – Outline)
Sites not prefe	rred for consultation	on					
EM001	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border. Issues relating to					No	
EM002	the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.  The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site makes a notable contribution to the townscape, being located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border. The site, which lies within the Embsay with Eastby Conservation Area, is also adjacent to a grade II listed building and at present the sustainability outcome for these heritage assets are uncertain. Issues relating to proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No	
EM005	The site pre are serious children's p	esents possible positive negative outcomes in playspace. Proximity to e. Issues relating to pro	e outcomes for ac relation to extens the Yorkshire Da	ccess to local facilition vive flooding issues ales National Park b	and access to coundary is also of	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential	Engagement	planning
	significant positive neutral negative significant	Feedback	permission
	positive impacts impacts impacts impacts negative impacts	(Summer 2013)	(Yes/No)
EM006	The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety or flood	Neither	No
	risk issues. However there are negative outcomes in relation to access to children's		
	playspace. The site is also adjacent to the Yorkshire Dales National Park boundary		
	and the grade II listed Embsay Steam Railway Station. At present the sustainability		
	outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. Issues relating to proximity of SPA		
	and SAC to be investigated further.		
EM010	The site is well related to existing recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or	Neither	No
	known highway safety issues. The site is located to the north of the village, in close		
	proximity to the Yorkshire Dales National Park border and is adjacent to a listed		
	building. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain.		
	The western edge of the site is within 800m of local facilities and public transport,		
	however the majority of the site falls beyond this distance and could necessitate car		
	journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be		
	investigated further.		
EM012	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no	Neither	No
	recorded flood risk or known highway safety issues (although community feedback		
	suggests that there are flooding risks in north-eastern section of site associated with		
	the culvert). The site is located in the older part of the village, in close proximity to the		
	Yorkshire Dales National Park border. As such, development could provide an		
	attractive draw for second home buyers. The site, which lies partially within the		
	Embsay with Eastby Conservation Area, also provides a tranquil setting adjacent to		
	the grade II listed church and burial ground that is valued by residents of both Embsay		
	and Eastby. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is		
	uncertain. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.		

Settlement:	Carleton		
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
	Potential significant positive impacts  Potential minor positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
Preferred Site	s for Consultation		
CA012	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, has the potential for the re-use of existing buildings on site and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site has extant planning permission and listed building consent which has addressed issues relating to sensitive conversion of the listed building.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 17/2009/996 5 - Part of site for 5 dwellings)
CA014	The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities, and has no flooding or known highway safety issues.	Favourable	No
Sites not pref	erred for consultation		
CA001	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no known highway safety issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. There are potential negative outcomes in relation to flooding issues to the east of the site.	Favourable	No
CA003	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues.	Neither	No
CA004	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site also provides the potential for the re-use of existing buildings.	Favourable	No
CA005	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, has the potential for the re-use of existing buildings on site and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site has extant planning permission and listed building consent which has addressed issues relating to sensitive conversion of the listed building.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 17/2012/124 72 & 12473 - 4 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
CA006	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flooding or known highway safety issues.	Favourable	No
CA008	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site, which lies partially within the Carleton Conservation Area, also provides a tranquil setting adjacent to the grade II listed church and burial ground that is valued by residents of Carleton. At present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain.	Neither	No
CA009	The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety issues.  The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. There are potential negative outcomes in relation to surface water flooding issues through the middle of the site, and the site's proximity to children's play space which is beyond a 400m walk.	Neither	No
CA013	The site is well related to existing services and has no flooding or known highway safety issues. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the site's proximity to children's play space which is beyond a 400m walk.	Neither	No

Settlement: 0	ononley		
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts   Potential minor positive impacts   Potential neutral impacts   Potential neutral significant negative impacts   Potential minor negative impacts   Pot		
Preferred Site	s for Consultation		
CN006	Further scrutiny is required as to the impact on the objective to support local businesses to grow and residents to attain better paid employment and self-employment. It may be possible to retain the existing level of employment activity on the site in a mixed use scheme providing a reduction in employment floorspace.	Favourable	No
Sites not prefe	rred for consultation		
CN001	The site is well related to existing services and there are no flood risk issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to known local highways safety issues and the proximity to children's playspace which is beyond a 400m walk. There are also concerns about site's location adjacent to the churchyard which, at present offers a tranquil setting for residents that should not be compromised.	Neither	No
CN002	The site is well related to existing services and there are no recorded flood risk issues, although community feedback suggests that there may be some flooding on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to known local highways safety issues and the proximity to children's playspace which is beyond a 400m walk.	Neither	No
CN004	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, and there are no flood risk issues on site (although the site borders flood zone 3 to the north). Some concerns exist in relation to the local highways safety issue along Crosshills Lane, however a current planning application outlines a highways solution at the entrance of the site and the provision of off street parking for existing residents on Crosshills Lane to alleviate existing on-street parking problems. A link to the existing footpath to the east of the site has been suggested to link the site to facilities in the village.	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
CN005	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, and there are no flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to known local highways safety issues. It is unclear to date if and how the landowner would mitigate against the highways safety issue near this site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 21/2014/142 41 – 15 dwellings)
CN007	The site is well related to existing services and there are no flood risk issues on site.  However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the proximity of children's playspace, which is beyond a 400m walk and known highways safety issues.	Neither	No
CN009	The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities, and there are no known highways safety or flood risk issues on site.	Neither	No
CN011	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or recorded flood risk issues on site (however community feedback suggests there are surface water drainage issues on site). However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the proximity of children's playspace >400m	Favourable	No
CN012	The site is well related to existing services and there are no known highways safety or flood risk issues on site. However some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the proximity of children's playspace, which is beyond a 400m walk.	Neither	No
CN014	This site was not subject to sustainability check as site has planning permission.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 21/2014/143 35 – 4 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check					Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
CN015	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities, and there are no flood risk issues. This is also a brownfield site and presents opportunities for the sustainable re-use of land. Known highways issues have been dealt with via extant planning permission for 4 houses.						Yes (Ref: 21/2013/133 21 – 4 dwellings)
CN016	The site is well brownfield in na However some known local hig of the site.	Neither	No				
CN017	flood risk issues					Neither	No
CN019		related to existing s safety or flood ri		reational facilities,	and there are no	Neither	No

Settlement: I	Bradley		
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts   Potential minor positive impacts   Potential neutral impacts   Potential neutral impacts   Potential minor negative		
Preferred Site	s for Consultation		
BR006	The key impacts which would affect allocation of this site is its location within Flood Risk Zone 3a. Other minor negative impacts of this site include the Grade 3 agricultural value of the site. There are positive attributes of this site including its proximity to Bradley village centre and children's play space. There are potential benefits in terms of footpath provision.	Favourable	No
BR007	This site offers positive attributes with respect of proximity to Bradley village centre and access to play space and low flood risk. There are minor negative issues with regards agricultural land value and uncertainty in terms of the impact on Low Bradley Conservation Area given the absence of any information to support the scheme in this respect.	Neither	No
Sites not prefe	erred for consultation		
BR001	Positive attributes include proximity to Bradley village centre, low flood risk and the land being partly brownfield. However, the main negative aspect of this site is its distance of over 400m from children's play space although on site provision may be possible.	Favourable	No
BR002	Positive attributes include proximity to Bradley village centre, low flood risk and being partly brownfield. The main negative aspect of this is the distance from children's play space.	Favourable	No
BR003	This site has positive merits in respect of proximity to Bradley village centre and access to children's play space. There is a minor negative in respect of the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value. Uncertainty also exists in relation to how the site could be developed sympathetically in the context of its location within Bradley Conservation Area. However, a major concern relates to the site's location within Flood Risk Zone 3a.	Unfavourable	No

Site	Prelin	ninary Sustaina	bility Check				Community	Site has
Reference	sig	tential nificant sitive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
BR004	n	centre and child negative aspects	ren's play spaces s notably the no cts in terms of th	e and offering sor orth western part he site being of G	its location in proximme brownfield land. of the site being in fluirade 3 agricultural la	There are some ood risk zone 3a,	Unfavourable	No
BR005	C	Bradley Conserv children's play s	vation Area. The pace, and location owever, minor r	e site has positive ion within flood ri	age character lying a e merits in respect of sk zone 1 and proxing relate to it being of 0	f proximity to mity to Bradley	Neither	No
BR008	ir n n	This site offers paradley village of mprove the existence impact in impacts in acheme be constituted.	entre and locati sting townscape of this site is the terms of the sit sidered for alloca	Unfavourable	No			
BR011	T	of Bradley village There are minor	e centre, access negative aspectist in relation to	s to children's placts in relation to a the impacts of a	s in respect of its loogy by space and low risl gricultural land class my scheme upon the	k of flooding. sification.	Unfavourable	No
BR012	T a	The site has incland is at low floor Grade 3 agricult	uding proximity od risk. There an ural land, the ne	to children's play re some negative	y space (southern pa e aspects including the n and uncertainty in t ley.	he land being of	Favourable	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential positive impacts Potential positive impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
BR013	This site offers positive attributes in respect of its proximity to the village centre although there is no footpath and access to children's play space and the low risk of flooding. There are however, also negative aspects in respect of the site being of Grade 3 agricultural value. There are uncertainties in respect of the site's prominence on entry to the village from the south and the location within Low Bradley Conservation Area, overcoming this would depend upon a good standard of design. Overall this site scores minor positive in terms of sustainability impacts.	Neither	No
BR014	Positive attributes relating to this site include proximity to Bradley village centre and children's play space and the site's location within an area of low flood risk and also the site being primarily located with an area of Grade 4 agricultural land. There is some uncertainty of any impact on Low Bradley Conservation Area.	Neither	No
BR016	The key negative sustainability issue affecting this site is the distance from children's play space. However, the site's size may allow on site provision. A further negative issue of this site relates to its Grade 3 agricultural value. There are positive aspects of this site including its low flood risk and proximity to Bradley village centre.	Neither	No

	Usburn and Crosshills	Community	Cito hoo
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check  Potential Potential minor positive impacts Potential neutral positive impacts  Potential neutral impacts Potential minor negative impacts significant negative impacts	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
SC014	Positive attributes of this site include the brownfield nature of the site and potential for recycling. However, negative aspects exist in relation to the existing employment use, distance from children's play space (although on site provision may be possible). There are also several uncertainties which include implications on heritage assets.	Neither	No
SC016	There are some sustainability issues raised with regards development of this site including the lack of nearby children's play space, parts of the site presenting surface water flooding issues and agricultural land classification (Grade 3). Positive attributes exist in relation to the site being within 800m of Crosshills village centre and access to open space.	Neither	No
SC082 (long term)	Positive attributes of this site include the brownfield nature of the site and potential for recycling. However, negative aspects exist in relation to the existing employment use, distance from children's play space (although on site provision may be possible). There are also several uncertainties which include implications on heritage assets.	Neither	No
Sites not prefe	red for consultation		
SC003	This site presents a number of sustainability concerns which would affect its potential for allocation including being identified as a potential site for employment, other concerns relate to its potential use for a rail station, access to open/play space. The site does offer some benefits in being brownfield, within 800m of Crosshills village centre and at low risk of flooding.	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential neutral impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC004	The site represents an efficient use of brownfield land and would also offer positive attributes in terms of the majority of the site being low flood risk and the opportunity to improve the existing townscape. Careful design would be required as a small part of the site lies within Flood Risk Zone 2. Negative aspects exist in relation to the potential of the land for employment allocation, potential impacts in terms of congestion and distance from open space and children's play space.	Neither	No
SC007	Negative sustainability impacts relate to the Grade 3 value of the land, with uncertainty in relation to sand and gravel extraction. The site offers positive attributes in respect of proximity to children's play space and open space and the low flood risk of the site.		No
SC015	The site presents positive attributes in respect of proximity to Crosshills village centre. However, negative aspects relate to the potential for employment allocation, distance from children's play space, the medium flood risk of the site and the Grade 3 agricultural value of the land.	Neither	No
SC034	There are sustainability issues which would affect the potential allocation of this site including, the site not lying within an 800m walking distance of Crosshills centre, the lack of nearby children's play space (although the site may be large enough to accommodate this on site) and the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value. The site is considered to be positive in respect of flood risk, surface water and access to open space. Uncertainty exists regarding the site lying within the setting of a Grade II Listed Building.	Unfavourable	No
SC035	Site not subject to sustainability checks as has an extant planning permission.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 32/2011/114 29 – 49 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Sustain	ability Check				Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC036	surface water f	looding, the nearb ovision. Key susta e the site's distand	y Glusburn Park inability impacts a	e being at low risk offering children's affecting the potent village centre, and	play space and ial for allocation of	Neither	No
SC037	exploration of he wellbeing, carbon 1km away from issues affecting this site in deliv	nighways safety and poon emissions / air open space and g this site include of the or of a new bridgery of a new bridgery of a new bridgery of a new bridgery	nd congestion mit  / noise pollution is over 400m fror grade 3 agricultur ge is also fundam	igation opportunitie	ion the site is over pace. More minor gic importance of butes in relation	Favourable	No
SC039	drainage issue well as the gra	s, potential emplo	yment allocation, alue of the site. P	clude flood risk and increased highway ositive attributes in	s congestion as	Favourable	No
SC052	in respect of pl 800m of Cross	ay space and ope hills village centre	n space, and par . However, minor	ility impacts, with pass of the site being parts of the site ar ral land, the site wo	located within re located within	Unfavourable	No
SC055	of the site. Cor cottage. Positive being at low ris	nsideration would a ves exist in relation	also need to be g n to proximity to C nfill potential and	e are the grade 3 a iven to the adjacen Crosshills village ce the nearby proximi	ot Grade II listed entre, the land	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustain	Preliminary Sustainability Check						
Reference	Potential	Potential Pote		Potential minor	Potential	Engagement	planning	
	significant	minor positive neutr		negative	significant	Feedback	permission	
	positive impacts	impacts impa		impacts	negative impacts	(Summer 2013)	(Yes/No)	
SC058	site lying beyor	mber of negative sustair	lage centre,	distance from chi	ildren's play space	Neither	No	
	being located v	e possible to accommod vithin flood risk zone 3a, n the setting of a Grade	the land bei	ng grade 3 agricu				
SC060	There are position negative aspect children's play	tive attributes with respects include distance from space, and the grade 3	ct the site in Crosshills v agricultural v	terms of its low fl illage centre, distant alue of the land.	ance from	Neither	No	
SC061	The site preser Crosshills village	uncertainties with regards the setting of the nearby listed building.  The site presents a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Crosshills village centre, distance to children's play space as well as the grade 3 agricultural value of the land.						
SC062	Crosshills village children's play lane) and the g	positive sustainability at ge centre. Negative sust space (albeit the site lies rade 3 agricultural value earby heritage assets.	Neither	No				
SC067	Positive attribute Crosshills village	tes include distance fron ge centre, the sites locat risk. Minor negative sust	ion within an	area of low flood	d risk and surface	Unfavourable	No	
SC070	Crosshills villag	nability issue which woulge centre. The site is als ing of Grade 3 agriculturen space and location w	o located be al value. Po	yond 400m of chi sitive attributes of	ildren's play space	Neither	No	

Site		Community	Site has
Reference	significant minor positive neutral negative significant F	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC071	The site offers generally positive sustainability attributes including proximity to Crosshills village centre, the presence of open space and play space nearby, the site being located within flood risk zone 1 and the absence of surface water flooding issues. There are minor sustainability issues with regards the land being of Grade 3 agricultural value.	Unfavourable	No
SC072	The site lies within 800m of Crosshills village centre and is located within proximity of open space and is located within flood risk zone 1 with low risk of surface water flooding. The site is brownfield. The site is located beyond 400m of children's play space and is too small to offer facilities on site.	Neither	No
SC078	This site has existing consent for 6 units. The site offers a number of sustainability attributes including its location within Crosshills village centre, flood risk and brownfield land whilst presenting negative sustainability impacts in terms of distance to children's play space.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 32/2013/137 58 – 5 dwellings)
SC081	There are a number of negative sustainability impacts including distance from Crosshills village centre, the absence of children's play space nearby (although development of a nearby site would provide satisfactory access). The site also suffers from low vulnerability surface water flood risk, the land is of Grade 3 agricultural value and is within the setting of a Grade II listed building.	Formed part of SC014 at 2013 summer engagement	No

Settlement: S	tton in Craven						
Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check			Community	Site has		
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)		
Preferred Site	for Consultation						
SC030	The site is well related to existing seknown flooding or highway safety is of buildings. Issues relating to the pfurther.	ues. The site also provides pot	ential for the re-use	Favourable	No		
SC040	known flooding issues. Issues relating pose a threat to pedestrians; and the	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no known flooding issues. Issues relating to the lack of pavements along Sutton Lane may pose a threat to pedestrians; and the site has been identified as potential for economic development. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
Sites not prefe	red for consultation	<u> </u>					
SC025	The site is well related to existing se known flooding or highway safety is of buildings. Issues relating to the p	ues. The site also provides pot	ential for the re-use	Favourable	No		
SC041	The main sustainability concern of the Development of the site could only be exception testing. There are also conthe contribution the site makes to the Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is whighway safety issues. Issues relating investigated further.	e achieved following appropriate cerns regarding the loss of goo green wedge/corridor between all related to existing services a	e sequential and od grazing land and the settlements of and has no known	Unfavourable	No		

Site	Preliminary Sustainab	oility Check				Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC042	Development of exception testing the contribution Sutton and Crost facilities and has SPA and SAC to	nability concern of the site could only light the site could only light the site makes to the site is the site is the site is the site is the site investigated further site investigated furt	Favourable	No			
SC043	of the site. A rea any future housi concerns regard the green wedge also in close prothat is valued by services and red	nability concern of to cent planning applications would be located along the loss of good electrical partials and the content of the content and the content a	eation (dismissed doutside the flood grazing land and he settlements of and burial ground a. Conversely, the nd has no known	at appeal) howeved risk zone. There of the contribution Sutton and Cross which provides a site is well related highway safety is	rer suggests that e are also the site makes to s Hills. The site is tranquil setting ed to existing	Unfavourable	No
SC044	risk issues (com from hills drains development of a valuable contr and Cross Hills. further.	related to existing semunity feedback ho onto site). The site the site would resultibution to the green Issues relating to the site was relating t	wever suggests to is beyond a 400 to in the loss of good wedge/corridor be the proximity of S	there is flood pote m walk to childrer od grazing land; a letween the settle PA and SAC to be	ential as water n's playspace; and the site offers ments of Sutton e investigated	Unfavourable	No
SC045	highway safety of	related to existing se or flood risk issues. grazing land. Issues her.	Slight concerns	exist regarding the	e loss of a small	Neither	No
SC046	highway safety of	related to existing se or flood risk issues. sues relating to the	Some concerns	exist regarding th	e loss of a good	Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community	Site has
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential minor negative impacts Potential minor negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC047	The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety or flood risk issues (although community feedback suggest there are flooding issues on site). The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However, some concerns exist regarding the loss of good grazing land and the proximity to children's playspace. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Neither	No
SC048	The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no flood risk issues. The site also provides potential for the re-use of buildings. However, some concerns exist regarding the known highways safety issue along Ellers Road. The site which lies partially within the Sutton in Craven Conservation Area is also adjacent to two grade II listed buildings and at present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is unknown. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Neither	No
SC050	The main sustainability concern of this site relates to the high risk of flooding.  Development of the site could only be achieved following appropriate sequential and exception testing. There are also concerns regarding the proximity to children's playspace, the loss of good grazing land and the contribution the site makes to the green wedge/corridor between the settlements of Sutton and Cross Hills. The site is well related to existing services and has no known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Unfavourable	No
SC057	This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to planning permission on site.	Neither	Yes (Ref: 66/2013/13537 - Outline for 10 dwellings)

Site	Preliminary Susta	ainability Check	Community	Site has			
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC066	highways sa Area is also outcomes fo	The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highways safety issues. The site, which lies within the Sutton in Craven Conservation Area is also adjacent to a grade II listed building, and at present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets are uncertain. A small section of the site presents flood risk issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No
SC069	development however vancentre, beyond could not be alleviate should the o	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues, as such development of this site could present some neutral or positive sustainability effects, however various concerns exist. The site is located to the south-west of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk of local facilities and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. However these problems may be alleviated should the site be developed in conjunction with the site to the north and should the developers choose to include playspace within any new development. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No
SC073	highway sa informal am	well related to existing fety or flood risk issumenity area and area ing to the proximity of the proximity o	ues. A recent refus of open space with	sal on site highligh hin the existing bu	ts the loss of this ilt environment.	Neither	No
SC075	highway sa	well related to existir fety or flood risk issu ential for sand and g	ies. Issues relating	g to the proximity	of SPA and SAC,	Neither	Yes (part of site. Ref: 66/2014/14362 – 1 dwelling)

Site	Preliminary Sus	tainability Check	Community	Site has			
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
SC076	This site v	This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to planning permission on site.					Yes (Ref: 66/2009/9440 – 1 dwelling)
SC077	This site v	This site was not subject to sustainability checks due to site size (below 0.1ha).					No
SC079	to the sou walk to re	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the south of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No
SC080	highways Area also sustainab within Flo	The site is well related to existing services and recreational facilities and has no known highways safety issues. The site, which lies within the Sutton in Craven Conservation Area also has a grade II listed building within its curtilage, and at present the sustainability outcomes for these heritage assets is uncertain. The site also partially lies within Flood Zone 3a and sequential testing should be applied. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.				Neither	No

Settlement:	Cowling				
Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)		
	Potential significant positive impacts impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential neutral impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  Potential minor negative impacts  regative impacts				
<b>Options Sites</b>	for Consultation				
CW004	This site is located within a 800m walk of local facilities and a 400m walk to recreational facilities and has no flooding or highways safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Favourable	No		
CW005	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Potential concern regarding the former use of part of the site as a sewerage works and whether there is associated contamination constraints. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Unfavourable	No		
CW006	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Favourable	No		
CW008	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.				
CW010	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Neither	No		
CW011	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Neither	No		

Site Reference	Preliminary Sustainab	Community	Site has				
	Potential significant positive impacts	Potential minor positive impacts	Potential neutral impacts	Potential minor negative impacts	Potential significant negative impacts	Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	planning permission (Yes/No)
Sites not prefer	red for consultation						
CW001	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. As such development of this site could present some neutral or positive sustainability effects, however various concerns exist. The site is located to the north-east of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk of local facilities and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Development of the site would also result in the loss grade 3 good grazing land. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					Neither	No
CW002	The site has no located to the n and a 400m wa	significant flood orth-east of the ville to recreational	risk or known high illage centre, beyo facilities, and cou	way safety issues. and a 800m walk o ld necessitate car j f SPA and SAC to	f local facilities ourneys to	Favourable	No
CW003	located to the n and a 400m wa	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the north-east of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk of local facilities and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No
CW007	flood risk or kno	The site is well related to existing services and recreational opportunities and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					No
CW016	proximity to chil	Some concerns exist as there are potential negative outcomes in relation to the site's proximity to children's playspace and steep walks to services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.					
CW017	has no flood ris		ay safety issues.	and recreational op Issues relating to		Neither	No

Site	Preliminary Sustainability Check	Community Engagement Feedback (Summer 2013)	Site has planning permission (Yes/No)
Reference	Potential significant positive impacts Potential minor positive impacts Potential positive impacts Potential positive impacts Potential potential potential neutral impacts Potential potential potential negative impacts		
CW018	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues, and there is potential for the re-use of existing buildings on site. The site is located to the southwest of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk to local services and a 400m walk to recreational facilities, and could necessitate car journeys to access services Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Neither	No
CW020	The site is well related to existing services and has no flood risk or known highway safety issues. Some concerns exist in relation to the site's proximity to children's playspace. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Unfavourable	No
CW023	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.	Favourable	No
CW024	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 10894 – 1 dwelling)
CW025	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as a planning approval for 6 dwellings (ref: 22/2011/11585) exists on site.	Favourable	Yes (Ref: 22/2011/115 85 – 6 dwellings),
CW026	This site was not subject to sustainability checks as it has a site area below 0.1ha.	Favourable	Yes (Ref 22/2012/126 31 – 3 dwellings)
CW027	The site has no significant flood risk or known highway safety issues. The site is located to the north-east of the village centre, beyond a 800m walk of local facilities and could necessitate car journeys to access services. Issues relating to the proximity of SPA and SAC to be investigated further.	Site made available subsequent to 2013 summer engagement	No