

Planning Enforcement

Cases Closed



For the period between 1st to 31st May 2016

Ref. No:	1974/2014	Site Address:	
Date Received:	01/12/2014	Alleged Breach:	GINNEL - LEADING OFF HIGH STREET, ADJACENT TO YORKSHIRE BANK, HIGH STREET, SKIPTON.
Date Closed:	03/05/2016		
Ward:	SKN		
Reason For Closure:	Not Expedient		
Ref. No:	2113/2015	Site Address:	
Date Received:	01/05/2015	Alleged Breach:	LAND TO REAR OF PUNCH BOWL HOTEL, LOW BENTHAM, LANCASTER.
Date Closed:	31/05/2016		
Ward:	BENT		
Reason For Closure:	Retro Permission		Works to entrance of site where planning application 08/2012/12091 was refused and appeal subsequently dismissed.
Ref. No:	2367/2016	Site Address:	
Date Received:	04/05/2016	Alleged Breach:	LAND TO REAR OF RECTORY COTTAGE AND RECTORY HOUSE, CHURCH ROAD, SKIPTON.
Date Closed:	18/05/2016		
Ward:	WCRA		
Reason For Closure:	No Breach		Trees along hedge line marked concern regarding potential felling

Explanation of Reasons for Closure

No Breach.

This reason for closure is used where no breach of planning control has occurred. It is also used in instances where apparent breaches of planning control are long established and now immune from enforcement action.

Breach Resolved.

Following the enforcement investigation the breach of planning control has been rectified.

Retro Permission.

Following the enforcement investigation a retrospective application for planning permission has been made and approved.

Not Expedient.

This reason for closure applies to cases where planning permission is required, but no further action is to be taken (for example where the unauthorised development is acceptable). The failure to apply for planning permission is not a reason to take formal enforcement action.

Enf Appeal Allowed.

Following the enforcement investigation the alleged unauthorised development has been allowed on appeal

Other Reason.

Used in all other cases that do not fit into the above.

Report Run: 18 July 2016