<u>Late Information Report – 28 September</u> <u>2015 Planning Committee</u>

This report brings to the attention of the Planning Committee any late information, amendments, or corrections to the agenda items that have been published. The report is circulated at the start of the Committee meeting and copies can be inspected by anyone attending that meeting.

Late information, amendments, or corrections

Planning Ref. 26/2015/16037

Proposal. Land at Laurel Croft – Outline Application for 9 Dwellings.

Additional Information Received

Six additional objections have been received including a petition with 807 signatures. The comments reiterate the objections that have been summarised in the officer's report and emphasise the strength of feeling of local residents regarding the loss of the open space at the centre of the village and concerns regarding the extent of development throughout Embsay as a whole.

One of the late representations refers to the officer report and recommendation and requests that further consideration be given to the sustainability of the application site and the loss of Grade 4 agricultural land.

In addition to the above a plan detailing vehicle tracking and an adoptable turning head off Laurel Croft has been submitted by the applicant's agent. This plan has been agreed with NYCC Highways who have confirmed that the second part of their highway safety concerns (as set out at paragraph 6.3 of the officer's report) is no longer applicable.

Amendments to the Report or Recommendation

This revision to the plans, amended comments of the Highways Authority, and additional representations, received do not alter the recommendation for refusal set out in the officer's report which relates solely to the lack of visibility at the junction of Laurel Croft and Main Street.

Late Information Report – 28th September 2015 Planning Committee

Planning Ref. 63/2015/16040

Proposal. 38 Long Meadow – Retention of Stone Arch

Additional Information Received

One additional objection has been received. It comments on how out of context the stone arch looks and how it appears dangerous and has no relevance to the surroundings.

Amendments to the Report or Recommendation

N/A