From:
 Local Dev. Framework

 To:
 Local Dev. Framework

 Subject:
 Craven Local Plan Publication Draft

 Date:
 31 January 2018 09:28:05

 Attachments:
 imageb57b5e.JPG g.PubnDft10feb18.pdf c.PubnDft10feb18.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for consulting Historic England about the Craven Local Plan Publication Draft and the associated Sustainability Appraisal. Please find attached our comments on those documents. Copies of these letters are in the post for your records.

If you have any queries about any of the matters raised in our responses or would like to discuss anything further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Historic Environment Planning Adviser (Yorkshire) Planning Group Historic England Direct Line: Mobile phone:

How can we transform our historic textile mills into 21st century engines of growth? Read our latest report on our <u>Mills of the North</u> webpage. #lovemills



We help people understand, enjoy and value the historic environment, and protect it for the future. <u>Historic England</u> is a public body, and we champion everyone's heritage, across England. Follow us: <u>Facebook</u> | <u>Twitter</u> | <u>Instagram</u> Sign up to our <u>newsletter</u>

Help us create a list of the 100 places which tell England's remarkable story and its impact on the world. <u>A History of England in 100 Places</u> sponsored by <u>Ecclesiastical</u>.

We have moved! Our new London office is at 4th Floor, Cannon Bridge House, 25 Dowgate Hill, London, EC4R 2YA.

This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of Historic England unless specifically stated. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it. Any information sent to Historic England may become publicly available.

Planning Policy Team, Craven District Council, Planning Policy, 1 Belle Vue Square, Broughton Road, SKIPTON North Yorkshire BD23 1FJ Our Ref: Your Ref: HD/P5336/03

Telephone: Fax:

31 January 2018

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Publication Draft Craven Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Report

Thank you for consulting Historic England about the Sustainability Appraisal Report of the Publication Draft of the Craven Local Plan. In terms of the historic environment, we would broadly endorse the evaluation of the likely impact which the Policies and proposals of the Plan might have upon the historic environment and, where an adverse effect has been identified, the proposed mitigation measures which have been proposed to reduce that harm.

We should like to stress that this opinion is based on the information set out in the Report published in January, 2018. To avoid any doubt, this does not affect our obligation to provide further advice and, potentially, object to specific proposals which may subsequently arise (either as a result of this consultation or in later versions of the Strategy) where we consider that, despite the SA/SEA, these would have an adverse effect upon the historic environment.

If you have any queries about any of the matters raised above or would like to discuss anything further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully,

Historic Environment Planning Adviser (Yorkshire) e-mail:

Planning Policy Team, Craven District Council, Planning Policy, 1 Belle Vue Square, Broughton Road, SKIPTON North Yorkshire BD23 1FJ Our Ref: Your Ref: HD/P5336/02

Telephone: Fax:

31 January 2018

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Publication Draft Craven Local Plan

Thank you for consulting Historic England about the Publication Draft of the Craven Local Plan. We have the following comments to make on the Policies and proposals of this latest iteration of the document:-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
19	Paragraph 2.28 et seq	Sound	This section provides a good summary of the rich heritage of the Plan area. These assets make an important contribution to the distinctive character of Craven's towns, villages and countryside, to the quality of life of its communities and to the economy of the area.	-
23	Paragraph 2.41, fourth bullet-point	Sound	We would endorse the conclusion that one of the biggest issues that the plan has to address is how to reconcile meeting the assessed development needs of the area with the appropriate protection of its outstanding environment.	-
24	Vision	Sound	 We support the proposed overarching Vision especially the intention that:- The District will be a distinctive and attractive place to live, work and visit New development will have respected the distinctive character and heritage of their surroundings and reinforced the sense of place Craven's high-quality landscapes and treasured environmental assets will have 	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			been conserved and will be enjoyed by everyone.	
25	Vision – North area	Sound	 We support the vision for this part of the Plan area especially the intention that:- Ingleton's rich heritage, cultural and environmental assets will have been used to boost the tourism economy of the area. 	-
25	Vision – Mid area, first Paragraph	Unsound	It is important that the growth of Settle takes place in a manner which retains the elements identified in Paragraph 2.28 of the Plan which make it such a distinctive town. This should be reflected in this part of the Vision.	Vision, Mid Area, first Paragraph third line amend to read:- " and families. The growth of the town will have been achieved in a manner which has retained both its intimate feel and its distinctive character"
25	Vision – South area	Sound	We support the vision for this part of the Plan area especially the intention that the tourism economy based upon the area's rich heritage will be flourishing.	-
27	Objective PO1	Unsound	Whilst we support the thrust of this Objective, given that one of the aims of the Plan is to ensure that Craven's high-quality environment is not harmed, this Objective should also refer to the intention to make certain that the patterns of development also safeguard the character and landscape setting of the District's towns and villages.	Objective PO1 add an additional bullet-point along the following lines :- "Respect the character and landscape setting of Craven's settlements,"
27	Objective PO2	Sound	We support the intention to conserve and enhance the high quality of the local environment and to reinforce the distinctive character of Craven's towns, villages and cultural heritage.	-
27	Objective PO3	Sound	We support the intention to conserve and enhance the character and setting of Craven's	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			landscapes and the special qualities of the protected landscapes of the Forest of Bowland AONB and the Yorkshire Dales National Park.	
27	Objective PO6	Sound	We support the intention to enhance the vitality of the market towns and larger village centres. The centres of all the market towns and most of the larger villages have been designated as Conservation Areas and contain many Listed Buildings. It is important that the vitality and viability of the market towns are maintained in order to help ensure that their historic assets remain in use which will help to encourage continued investment in their repair and maintenance.	-
43	Policy SP3 Criterion c	Sound	We support the intention to allow variations from the indicative densities to take account of site-specific circumstances. This flexibility may be necessary in order to ensure that new development safeguards the distinctive character of a particular settlement or the setting of a heritage asset.	-
48	Policy SP4 Criterion I	Sound	We support the requirements which any non- allocated site would need to satisfy. This will help to ensure that any such developments safeguard the character and setting of Craven's settlements and the District's environmental assets.	-
48	Policy SP4 Criterion J.c	Unsound	As the NPPF makes clear 'Enabling development' is development which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset. As worded, this Criterion appears to encompass more than simply the conservation of heritage assets. Since any type of development could, potentially, be used to justify Enabling Development, it is unclear why it is limited to housing in Tier 5 Settlements and in the open countryside. Moreover, since it is the conflict with planning Policies which is the test, Enabling Development may be justified within settlements on sites safeguarded by Local Plan	Delete Policy SP4 Criterion J.c

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			Policies (such as undeveloped areas within settlements). Therefore, it is suggested that this Criterion is	
48	Policy SP4 Criterion K.c. ii	Unsound	deleted. As the NPPF makes clear 'Enabling development' is development which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset. As worded, this Criterion appears to encompass more than simply the conservation of heritage assets.	Delete Policy SP4 Criterion K.c.ii
			Since any type of development could, potentially, be used to justify Enabling Development, it is unclear why it is limited to housing in Tier 5 Settlements and in the open countryside. Moreover, since it is the conflict with planning Policies which is the test, Enabling Development may be justified within settlements on sites safeguarded by Local Plan Policies (such as undeveloped areas within settlements).	
			Therefore, it is suggested that this Criterion is deleted.	
59	Policy SP5, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan. It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development.	-
			The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal.	

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles in Policy SP5 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
62	Development Principles - Site SK058: Whitakers Chocolate Factory Site, Skipton, first bullet-point	Sound	This site lies within the Skipton Conservation Area. We support the requirement that development proposals should retain the two villa-style houses, the boundary walls on Upper Union Street, and that any structures should not exceed the scale and massing of the existing buildings.	-
			These Development Principles reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is redeveloped in a manner which will conserve elements which make a positive contribution the character of this part of the Conservation Area.	
63	Development Principles – Site SK060; Business premises and land west of Firth Street, first bullet- point	Sound	This site lies within the Skipton Conservation Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment of this site considered the existing mill buildings and the stone walls made a positive contribution to the character of the Conservation Area with the canal frontage being particularly important. The Hinchliffe Report specifically identifies four buildings for retention and conversion. These Development Principles reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is redeveloped in a manner which will conserve	
64	Development	Sound	elements which make a positive contribution the character of this part of the Conservation Area. This site lies opposite the Skipton Conservation	-
	Principles – Site SK061; East of Canal, west of Sharpaw Avenue, first	Sound	Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment considered that the development of this site would be likely to have only a slight impact upon the setting of the Conservation Area provided that the	

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	three bullet- points		development of the site complied with the recommended mitigation measures. The first three bullet-points reflect the suggested mitigation measures and will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which will conserve the character of this part of the Conservation Area.	
65	Development Principles – Site SK080; SK81; SK82; SK108 North of Gargrave Road, west of Parkwood Drive and Stirtonber; bounded by White Hills and A65	Sound	This area adjoins the boundary of the Skipton Conservation Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment considered that the area to the north of Gargrave Road made a strong contribution to the character of the Conservation Area. It also considered that the south-western corner of Site SK108 (where it abuts the northern extension of the Conservation Area around Aireville Grange) also made a strong contribution to the Conservation Area. The extent of the developable area identified on Inset Map 1 together with the Development Principles set out in the third, fifth, sixth seventh and eighth bullet-points reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which will conserve the character of this part of the Conservation Area.	
69	Development Principles – Site SK094; Land bounded by Carleton Road, railway line and A629, Skipton, fourth bullet-point	Sound	This site adjoins the boundary of the Skipton Conservation Area. This bullet-point alerts potential developer of the proximity of the Conservation Area and the need to ensure that its character is appropriately conserved.	-
71	Development Principles – Site SK114; Land to east of	Sound	To the south-east of this area there is a series of Scheduled Monuments. The nearest, a cup- marked rock, lies 140 metres or so from the south-eastern edge of the site. There is also a	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	North Parade and Cawder Road Garage site, Skipton,		semi-circular enclosed settlement on Horse Close Hill 200 metres to the south of this area which is also Scheduled.	
	first bullet- point		Although the Heritage Impact Assessment considered that the impact upon the Scheduled Monuments themselves would be negligible, nevertheless, there still may be potential for archaeological remains on this site. Therefore we welcome the requirement for an archaeological assessment.	
72	Development Principles – Site SK139; East and west	Sound	This site lies within the Skipton Conservation Area and there are a number of Listed Buildings in its vicinity.	
	of Cavendish Street, Skipton, Heritage Significance considerations		The Heritage Impact Assessment recommended a number of development considerations which would be required to ensure that the redevelopment of this site takes place in a manner which would conserve the heritage assets in its vicinity	
			These Development Principles reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is redeveloped in a manner which will conserve those elements which make a positive contribution the character of this part of the Conservation Area and the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings.	
73	Development Principles – Site SK140; Land at Skipton Station,	Sound	This site lies within the Skipton Conservation Area and there are a number of Listed Buildings both within the site itself and in its vicinity. These Development Principles reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact	-
	Broughton Road, Carleton New Road, Sandylands Business Centre,		Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is redeveloped in a manner which will conserve elements which make a positive contribution the character of this part of the Conservation Area and the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings.	

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	Skipton, Heritage Significance		We also welcome the requirement for the production of a Masterplan to guide the development of this important site.	
76	Development Principles – Site SK113; Land south of Skipton Auction Mart, Skipton, second bullet- point	Sound	This site lies within the Skipton Conservation Area. These Development Principles reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is redeveloped in a manner which will conserve those elements which make a positive contribution the character of this part of the Conservation Area	-
78	Policy SP6, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan. It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development. The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal. The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles within Policy SP6 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
79	Development Principles – Site SG021, SG066, SG080; Land to the north-west and south-west of Penny	Sound	This site lies close to the Settle-Carlisle Railway Conservation Area. This area was identified in the draft Conservation Area Appraisal as making some contribution to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	Green, Settle, first bullet- point		Therefore we support the inclusion of a requirement to conserve the significance of the heritage assets near this site and the creation of a green buffer to mitigate the impact upon the Settle-Carlisle Railway.	
80	Development Principles – Site SG025; Land to the south of Ingfield Lane, Settle	Sound	The development of this site could affect the setting of the Grade II Listed Falcon Manor Hotel and the Settle-Carlisle Railway Conservation Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment recommended that an open green buffer zone be retained to the south of the Falcon Manor Hotel and alongside the Settle-Carlisle Railway Conservation Area to reduce the harm upon their setting.	
			The extent of the developable area shown on Inset Map 4 reflects these recommendations. Together with the requirements set out in the fifth bullet-point, these will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which conserves the setting of these assets.	
82	Development Principles – Site SG032 Car park, off Lower Greenfoot and Commercial Street, first bullet-point	Sound	This site lies within the Settle Conservation Area. This bullet-point alerts potential developers of the fact that this site lies within the Conservation Area and the need for any proposals to ensure that the elements which contribute to its significance are appropriately conserved.	-
84	Development Principles – Site SG079; Land to the north of Town Head Way, Settle, first, second and third bullet-points	Sound	This site adjoins the boundary of the Settle- Carlisle Line Conservation Area. Barrel Sykes Farm, to the north, is a Grade II Listed Building. The Heritage Impact Assessment recommended a number of mitigation measures which would be required to ensure that the redevelopment of this site takes place in a manner which would conserve the heritage assets in its vicinity. The extent of the developable area shown on	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			Inset Map 4 and the requirements set out in these Criteria reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which conserves the setting of these assets.	
85	Development Principles – Site SG042 NYCC Depot, Kirkgate, Settle, first bullet-point	Sound	This site lies within the Settle Conservation Area and its access runs between two Grade II Listed Buildings (Bond End and The Victoria Hall). This bullet-point alerts potential developers of the fact that this site lies within the Conservation Area and the proximity of these Listed Buildings and the need for any proposals to ensure that the elements which contribute to their significance are appropriately conserved.	-
86	Development Principles - Site LA004 Land to the north of barrel Sykes, Settle, third and fourth bullet- points	Sound	This site adjoins the boundary of the Settle- Carlisle Railway Conservation Area. Watershed Mill, the adjacent cottages and the mill chimney to the north-west of this site are important and prominent non-designated heritage assets on the northern approach to Settle. The Development Requirements set out in these bullet-points will ensure that the site is brought forward for development in a manner which will conserve those elements which contribute to the significance of these assets.	-
87	Development Principles - Site SG060 Northern part of Sowarth Industrial Estate, Settle, Heritage significance	Sound	This site adjoins the boundary of the Settle Conservation Area. The Development Requirements set out in these bullet-points will ensure that the site is brought forward for development in a manner which will conserve those elements which contribute to the significance of this asset.	
88	Development Principles – Site SG064; Land south of Runley Bridge Farm and west of B6480, fifth,	Sound	This site lies close to the boundary of the Settle- Carlisle Railway Conservation Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment recommended a number of mitigation measures which would be required to ensure that the redevelopment of this site takes place in a manner which would conserve the heritage assets in its vicinity. The	

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	sixth and seventh bullet- points		requirements set out in these Criteria reflect the recommendations of the Heritage Impact Assessment and will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which conserves the setting of these assets.	
90	Policy SP7, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan. It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development. The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal. The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles within Policy SP7 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
91	Development Principles – Site HB011; Primary school, east of Robin Lane, west of Lowcroft, High Bentham, second bullet- point	Sound	The local planning authority is currently undertaking as assessment about whether or not High Bentham should be designated a Conservation Area. The boundary of the Conservation Area as shown in the draft Appraisal would run adjacent to this site. This bullet-point will alert those considering proposals for this site about the need to consider the potential impact upon the historic environment	
97	Policy SP8, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan.	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development. The development principles set out clear	
			guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal.	
			The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles within Policy SP8 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
98	Development Principles – Site SC085; Land at Malsis, Glusburn, Inset Map 2	Unsound	This site contains three Grade II Listed structures - Malsis Hall, its lodge and its gate piers and railings. The Heritage Impact Assessment considered that the open parkland contributes to the setting of these buildings and that development within its grounds would have a very large adverse impact upon the setting of Malsis Hall and the Lodge.	Amend Inset Map 2 so that the developable area of Site SC085 is limited to the extent of the existing buildings (as originally identified on the corresponding Map on the Pre-
			Whilst we support the Development Principles relating to the conversion of the buildings and protection of the Parkland around the buildings, Inset Map 2 identifies most of this site (including large stretches of the Parkland) as a "Draft Housing-led Development Allocation". This gives the impression that residential development could, potentially, occur on any part of this site. In order to make it explicit which areas are appropriate for future development (and demonstrate that this allocation would be likely to lead to sustainable development in terms of the conservation of the historic environment), the developable area should be limited to the	Publication Draft)

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			extent of the existing buildings (as originally identified on the corresponding Map on the Pre-Publication Draft).	
100	Policy SP9, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan. It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development. The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal. The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles within Policy SP9 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
101	Development Principles – Site IN006; CDC Car Park, Backgate, Ingleton, first bullet-point	Factual correct- ion	Although this site lies in the Ingleton Conservation Area, the car park makes little contribution to its character and the redevelopment of the site could provide an opportunity to enhance the Conservation Area. Whilst we welcome the requirements of the first bullet-point relating to the Conservation Area there are no Listed Buildings actually on the site itself.	Development Principles – Site IN006 first bullet- point amend to read:- " to conserve the significance of this part of the Conservation Area and nearby Listed Buildings"
101	Development Principles – Site IN010; Caravan Park, north of River Greta, first	Factual correct- ion	Although this site lies adjacent to the Ingleton Conservation Area, the caravan site makes little contribution to its character and the redevelopment of the site could provide an opportunity to enhance the Conservation Area.	Development Principles – Site IN010, first bullet- point amend to read:-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	bullet-point		Therefore we welcome in the first bullet-point for the requirement for the siting and design of development to conserve the significance of heritage assets. However, there are no designated heritage assets actually on the site itself.	" to conserve the significance of nearby heritage assets "
102	Development Principles – Site IN028; Between Ingleborough Park Drive and Low Demesne, first bullet-point	Sound	This site lies close to the boundary of the Ingleton Conservation Area. This bullet-point alerts potential developers of the proximity of the Conservation Area and the need for any proposals to ensure that the elements which contribute to its significance are appropriately conserved.	-
103	Development Principles – Site IN049; Former playing fields, Ingleton Middle School, Ingleton	Factual correct- ion	 Field House, to the west of this site, is a Grade II Listed Building. Therefore we welcome the requirements in the first bullet-point that siting and design of development should conserve the significance of the heritage assets near this site and their settings. However, the development of this site is unlikely to have any impact upon the Ingleton Conservation Area. Therefore this bullet-point 	Development Principles – Site IN010, first bullet- point amend to read:- " to conserve the significance of the Listed Building opposite"
105	Policy SP10, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan. It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development. The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal.	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles in Policy SP10 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
107	Development Principles – Site GA031; Land to the west of	Sound	This site lies close to the boundary of the Gargrave Conservation Area and some 350 metres from the edge of a Scheduled Monument.	-
	Walton Close, Gargrave		This bullet-point alerts potential developers of the proximity of these assets and the need for any proposals to ensure that the elements which contribute to their significance are appropriately conserved.	
109	Policy SP11, final Paragraph	Sound	We support the inclusion of a statement which clearly ties the Development Principles into the Policy framework of the Local Plan.	-
			It is not sufficient to rely on the general development management Policies which are set out elsewhere in the plan to ensure that the development of each of these sites comes forward in a manner likely to deliver sustainable development.	
			The development principles set out clear guidance to all concerned in the planning process about what will, and will not, be permitted on each of these sites. As such the help the decision-maker determine how they should react to a development proposal.	
			The inclusion of this cross-reference to the development Principles in Policy SP11 is in accordance with the advice in Paragraph 153 of the NPPF.	
109	Development Principles – Site BU12; Richard Thornton's CE Primary	Sound	The former Richard Thornton's CE Primary School is a Grade II Listed Building and the site lies within the Burton-in-Lonsdale Conservation Area. The development of this site provides an opportunity to bring this vacant building back into use.	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	School, Burton- in- Lonsdale		The Heritage Impact Assessment considered that development to the north-east and south of these buildings would have a large adverse impact upon their setting. Therefore, we support the intention to exclude the area in front of the Listed Buildings from the developable area as shown on Inset Map 7. We also support the requirement, in the first bullet-point, that the conversion of the school buildings and any new development should	
111	Development	Cound	conserve the significance of these Listed Buildings and the nearby Scheduled Monument. These will and will help to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which conserves the setting of these assets	
111	Development Principles – Site CN006 Station Works, north of Cononley Lane, Cononley, second bullet- point	Sound	This site lies adjoins the boundary of the Cononley Conservation Area and is prominent in several of the key views across the Conservation Area. The Heritage Impact Assessment considered that the loss of the mill buildings would have a large adverse impact upon the setting of the Cononley Conservation Area. Therefore, we support the requirement for development to retain and convert these buildings.	
			We also support the requirement, in the second bullet-point, that the site and design of any new development should conserve the significance of the nearby heritage assets.	
117	Policy ENV1	Sound	 We support this Policy which should help to ensure that development proposals not only safeguard the distinctive landscapes within the Local Plan area but also those beyond its boundaries. We particularly welcome the:- Support for proposals that secure the restoration of degraded landscapes in ways that also help achieve heritage objectives Support for proposals which secure the appropriate restoration, preservation and 	

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			 enhancement of historic features A requirement that settlements grow in a way that respects their form and landscape setting. 	
121	Policy ENV2 and its justification	Sound	The District has a rich legacy of historic buildings, archaeological sites and historic landscapes. These elements help to define the individual identity of its settlements, contribute to the quality of life of its communities, and assist in helping the area to achieve its wider economic objectives. It is essential, therefore, that the Local Plan sets out an appropriate framework for the protection and enhancement of this resource.	-
			We support this Policy and its justification. This provides a good framework for the future management of the historic environment of the District and provides a clear indication of how a decision-maker should react to development proposals. We particularly welcome (and endorse) the identification within the Policy of those elements which are considered to be of especial importance to the distinctive character of the plan area.	
			As such we consider that this Policy and its justification reflect the advice set out in Paragraph 126 of the NPPF.	
126	Policy ENV3 and its justification	Sound	We support this Policy which should help to ensure that development proposals safeguard, and help to reinforce, the distinctive character of the settlements and landscapes of the plan area.	-
			We particularly welcome Criterion q. Craven's town centres still retain several traditional shop fronts which make a valuable contribution to the distinctive character of their local area and it is wholly appropriate that these should be retained in any development proposals, wherever possible,.	
137	Policy ENV5	Sound	We support this Policy which should help to safeguard the Green Infrastructure of the	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			District. Several elements of Craven's Green Infrastructure network are either designated heritage assets in their own right or contribute to the setting of its historic buildings and structures. The protection and effective management of this resource will not only help to safeguard many elements which contribute to the distinctive character of the area but also help to deliver the plan's Objectives for the historic environment.	
150	Policy ENV9, Criteria (b) and (c)	Sound	 The introductory section of the plan notes the outstanding local environment and the Vision sets out an intention that the high-quality landscapes and treasured environmental assets of the area be protected. We therefore support the requirement that renewable energy and low carbon developments should:- Ensure that there are no significant adverse impacts upon built and historic assets and that such developments respect the character and immediate setting of the wider landscape Avoid developments that may detract from the landscape and scenic beauty or setting of the Forest of Bowland AONB and the Yorkshire Dales National Park 	-
154	Policy ENV10	Sound	We welcome the inclusion of a Policy to protect Local Green Spaces and endorse the criteria by which such areas have been identified on the Policies Maps contribute to the special architectural or historic interest of the District's Conservation Areas, the landscape setting of its settlements, or the setting of its heritage assets. The safeguarding of these areas, therefore, will also assist in ensuring that the distinctive character of Craven's settlements are retained and that the Plan's objectives for the historic environment are realised.	-
158	Policy ENV11	Sound	We support this Policy. As Paragraph 5.92 notes,	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			the Leeds-Liverpool Canal is one of the defining elements of the Plan area and it is essential that development in its vicinity relates sensitively to the waterway.	
164	Policy ENV13, Criterion 2	Sound	The draft Kildwick and Farnhill Conservation Area Appraisals identify the majority of the area between Kidwick/Farnhill and Cross Hills as making a strong contribution to the character and appearance of those Conservation Areas. Therefore, we welcome the intention to	-
			safeguard this area from development.	
182	Policy EC1, first Paragraph, Criterion (c)	Sound	We support the requirement that new employment development will be supported where the proposals do not adversely affect the significance of a designated heritage asset. This will assist in ensuring that development to	-
			meet the employment needs of the community is delivered in a manner which also conserves its heritage assets.	
187	Paragraph 7.20 to 7.24	Sound	This Paragraph provides a good overview of the multiple significances of Bolton Abbey, the challenges it faces and the contribution that this area might play in the economic wellbeing of Craven and the National Park.	-
193	Policy EC4, Criterion (g) and Paragraph 7.28 to 7.34	Sound	All of the places which have been put forward as areas where the Council will support, in principle, proposals for future tourist development could also assist in encouraging investment into and, therefore, conservation of the District's heritage assets.	-
194	Policy EC4, Criterion (h)(II)	Sound	We support the requirement that alternative tourism developments on the site at Hellifield and Long Preston conserve heritage assets (including the Long Preston Conservation Area and the Settle-Carlisle Conservation Area). This will help to ensure that the future tourism development in this area is delivered in a manner consistent with the conservation of the	-
4.0.7			historic environment.	
196	Policy EC4A	Sound	The Bolton Abbey Estate contains some of the	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			most important heritage assets in the plan area, it is one of the key attractions of this part of North Yorkshire, and makes a considerable contribution to the tourism economy of the surrounding area. However, as Paragraph 7.22 notes, this area is underperforming. In order to help ensure the long-term conservation of this area and its assets, it is also important that the economic potential of this area is also realised. This Policy provides an appropriate framework in which to consider future development proposals. As such it will help to ensure that proposals for future tourism and mixed-use development in this area not only support a sustainable future for Bolton Abbey itself but are delivered in a manner which will also conserve the numerous significances of this area that are	
208	Policy EC5A, final Paragraph	Sound	set out in Paragraph 7.20. We support the encouragement given to residential use of the upper floors of properties within the District's primary shopping areas. Making better use of vacant or underused floorspace within Craven's town centres can assist in not only meeting the District's housing needs but also, through encouraging more activity for a longer period in these areas, can improve the vitality and viability of its retail areas. Given that the Market Towns are all Conservation Areas each containing many historic properties, such an approach can also help ensure that these historic properties remain in use and encourage continued investment in their repair and maintenance.	-
229	Policy INF5 Criterion (c)	Sound	We support the requirement that new communications infrastructure should avoid harm to sensitive areas in line with the provisions of Policy ENV2. This will ensure that such developments do not detract from those elements which help to give the District its special character,	-
233	Table of proposed	Sound	We support the proposed Indicators for the historic environment.	-

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
	Monitoring Indicators			

If you have any queries about any of the matters raised above or would like to discuss anything further, please do not hesitate to contact me. Yours faithfully,

Historic Environment Planning Adviser (Yorkshire) e-mail: