

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [Local Dev. Framework](#)  
Subject: Emerging Local Plan - Carleton  
Date: 05 February 2018 19:52:05

---

Please consider the following as part of your latest consultation

#### 1. CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISAL

It is apparent from the footnote in the 2016 Conservation Area Appraisal that someone told the independent experts from [REDACTED] what to say about the Carleton Conservation Area. They were made to downgrade the status of part of the Grundy field from “strong contribution” to “some contribution”. This when they actually expressed a desire to upgrade the whole field to full Conservation Area status. And this was before any public consultation which is supposed to underpin the planning system. Not only do we as a village find that unacceptable, but for the avoidance of doubt we want to put on record that when the public get chance to comment on the Appraisal we will ask CDC to respect the independent experts views and bring the whole of Grundy field into an extended Conservation Area. In the meantime please consider my view that the whole field does at the very least make a “strong contribution” to the character and setting of the Conservation Area.

#### 2. HOUSING NEED

I have heard the Head of Planning [REDACTED] on tape ([23/10](#)) responding to Councillor [REDACTED] question about housing need in Carleton telling the planning committee that granting planning for only 4 houses on the brownfield site at Carla Beck won't affect housing need figures for the village. If he was right, then Carleton doesn't need any more development sites passed before 2032 and the current draft is fine.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] It suggests that if 20 more houses are needed at some stage to replace the ones lost (if 4 are built at Carla Beck not 24) the local plan will need amending .

[REDACTED] and the draft local plan is right then please bring one or more of other 3 preferred sites in Carleton forward in local plan. At the same time please make it absolutely clear that the green field at Grundy is not a preferred option to build on for a whole host of sound planning reasons.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED].

Sent from my iPad