From: Local Dev. Framework To: Cc:

Publication Draft Local Plan Subject: Date: 09 February 2018 16:54:32

Craven Local Plan consultation - M07TR001.MS.DB.PDF Copy of Craven Local Plan - NYCC FRM Review.xlsx Attachments:

## Dear Sir / Madam

Please find attached North Yorkshire County Council's response to the publication draft of the Craven Local Plan.

I would be grateful to receive acknowledgement by return email.

Yours faithfully

| , Lea               | dership Support Of     | ficer/PA to   | , Corporate Director         | - BES |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|
| ■ North Yorkshire ( | County Council, East B | Block, County | Hall, Northallerton, DL7 8AH |       |
| ₹Tel:               | □Fax:                  | ⊠e-mail:      |                              |       |



Planning Policy Team Craven District Council Belle Vue Square Broughton Road SKIPTON BD23 1FJ

By email: localplan@cravendc.gov.uk

Your ref:

Our ref: M07TR001.MS.DB

Contact:

9 February 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Corporate Director
Business & Environmental Services
County Hall
NORTHALLERTON
North Yorkshire
DL7 8AH

Tel: Email:

Web: www.northyorks.gov.uk

#### **Publication Draft Local Plan**

Thank you for consulting North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC) on the Publication Draft of the Craven Local Plan. NYCC welcomes the opportunity to continue to engage with Craven District Council on the preparation of the Local Plan and considers this part of the ongoing dialogue under the requirements of the Duty to Co-operate. We are encouraged to see the plan progress to its publication stage.

This submission is made as a corporate response of the County Council and includes representations received from across NYCC service areas. Please note this submission includes comments from North Yorkshire County Council in its capacity of Local Highway Authority (LHA).

The following response has been endorsed by the Business and Environmental Service Portfolio Holders:

### Strategic Policy and Economic Growth

The NYCC Council Plan 2017-2021 sets the ambition that 'North Yorkshire is a place with a strong economy and a commitment to sustainable growth that enables our citizens to fulfil their ambitions and aspirations' with priorities of 'creating high quality places and increased housing provision' and 'creating the right conditions for business growth and investment'. Within North Yorkshire the District Councils are vital in ensuring that these ambitions can be achieved. For that reason, we welcome and support the Vision and Objectives of the Draft Publication Local Plan.

Policy SP1: Meeting Housing Need:

The County Council welcomes the aspiration to provide 230 dwellings per annum in order to meet identified needs within the Plan area to support the local labour force to enable the delivery of the Plan's economic aspirations.

/cont'd...

Enc

## Policy SP1: Meeting Housing Need:

The County Council welcomes the aspiration to provide 230 dwellings per annum in order to meet identified needs within the Plan area to support the local labour force to enable the delivery of the Plan's economic aspirations.

# Policy SP2: Economic Activity and Business Growth:

North Yorkshire County Council's Plan for Economic Growth sets out a number of aims, including for: a larger business base and increased number of good quality jobs; people across the County having equal access to economic opportunity; and an increased overall median average wage. As such the County Council welcomes opportunities in the Plan to deliver 32ha economic development land and the wider flexibility and potential within the Plan that exists for facilitating economic growth.

There is also reference to improved road and rail infrastructure which is fine in itself however it isn't certain at this time that proposals to improve the Colne Valley rail line will ever be delivered and therefore future economic growth should not be contingent on this.

# SP12: Infrastructure, Strategy and Development Delivery:

The recognition and inclusion of essential infrastructure within the Development Principles for each site, set out in policies SP5-SP11, is supported. This will improve confidence and certainty that the sites will be able to fully address the identified issues and achieve viable and sustainable development. The use of developer contributions is strongly supported given that there is evidence of an infrastructure funding gap. Without adequate funding the viability and deliverability of sites many be compromised leading to delays in provision. As a strategic infrastructure provider the County Council is keen to work with the District on infrastructure requirements and would welcome discussions on how best this can be achieved.

## Policy H2: Affordable Housing:

The County Council notes that the Plan seeks to achieve a minimum of 30% affordable housing. We also note the District Council's evidence base advises that this level is viable, whilst also meeting the funding requirements of the critical infrastructure needed to enable the delivery of the Plan. On this basis the County Council is supportive of this policy. However, we would urge that viability evidence base be kept under regular review, as we would be concerned if a change in the viability of development led to the delivery of such infrastructure becoming unviable.

## **Children and Young People's Services**

Policy INF6: Education Provision:

We welcome the proposal for securing contributions through planning obligations for education.

Policy SP5: Strategy for Skipton - Tier 1:

We are pleased to see the identification of land for new primary school provision in Skipton in the plan.

SK089 and SK090 Land to the north of Airedale Avenue & Elsey Croft and east of railway line, Skipton:

We welcome the inclusion in these sites of 1.8 ha for the provision of a new school in Skipton; we suggest that the development principles are reworded to state:

new primary school may potentially need to be provided on 1.8ha of the total site area of sites SK089 & SK090 to meet the educational requirements for Skipton over the plan period.

SK081, SK082 & SK108 (incorporating site SK080a) Land north of Gargrave Road and west of Park Wood Drive and Stirtonber, Skipton:

We welcome the inclusion in these sites of 1.8 ha for the provision of a new school in Skipton; we suggest that the development principles are reworded to state:

An area of land (1.8ha) in the north east corner of the site is allocated for the potential provision of a new primary school.

## Policy SP7: Strategy for Bentham - Tier 2:

We are pleased to see the provision in site HB038 at High Bentham that the eastern part of the site (0.3 ha of land) is allocated for the provision of an extension to Bentham Primary School.

## Infrastructure Plan Appendix C:

In para 9.14, 'Basic Capital Funding' should read 'Basic Need Capital Funding' and please remove the references to Capital Receipts as these are unlikely to be available.

### **Heritage Services**

We have no further comments.

## **NYCC Property Services**

NYCC seeks clarification as to why there is an additional area of green infrastructure provided on the east of the Eshton Road site (GA009). There is concern that this additional green infrastructure provision restricts the most viable part of this site. Whilst the remaining site area is in theory large enough for the provision of the required extra care facilities, due to the irregular shape of the site and when taking into considering the infrastructure that would need to be provided to enable development, we feel the viability of the remaining land is significantly impacted. Given that the field immediately east of site GA009 does not include any allocations within the current plan, it is considered that this will provide a natural buffer to reduce the impact of any development of the GA009 site. The additional allocation of Green Infrastructure should be removed to ensure that a viable Extra Care scheme can be developed in the interests of the local residents who may need to benefit from this valuable service.

### **Library and Community Services**

The text has been updated to reflect the wording supplied a few months ago; we are therefore happy with it so there are no further comments to make.

#### **Public Health**

No Comments

#### Passenger transport

No Comments

## Flood Risk Management

#### Draft Policy ENV6 - Flood Risk

Section 5.59 – North Yorkshire County Council in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority recently undertook a Section 19 Investigation for South Craven following the 2015 Boxing Day Flood Event. There are some locations within the Local Plan in which the investigation took place. It should be noted that North Yorkshire County Council in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority is working together with local authorities and local

residents to investigate and help manage the flood risk in these locations. A spreadsheet is attached for your information which outlines the current flood risk across the sites allocated.

The policy aims steer development to locations of low risk for all sources of flooding including Fluvial, Surface Water and Groundwater, which is welcomed. Development in areas of medium to high flood risk should employ safeguards and mitigation measures that ensure no properties are at risk of flooding and that the development does not increase the risk to existing properties.

The policy addresses the need investigate all options of surface water discharge when designing systems for surface water management. This is important as all developments should seek to dispose of surface water via infiltration before the use of connections to local watercourses or sewer can be established.

The policy should make clear reference to NYCC's SuDS Design Guidance, which outlines all requirements for surface water drainage systems on all major developments.

#### **Health and Adult Services**

No Comments

#### **Mobile Communications**

#### Mobile Infrastructure:

Although it states that Craven is reasonably well provisioned for mobile coverage, the effects of new developments can affect coverage greatly. Rather than having the Mobile Operators react to customer demand following a new development, within the plans for new housing or industrial developments the provision for 4G mobile phone coverage should be built within the development. If it could be included as part of the planning conditions for the development to the velopment costs.

The advantages in doing this are:-

- Developments are not built with no or poor mobile phone coverage
- The marketing value of the properties increase with the guarantee of the latest mobile phone connectivity
- The greater potential for economic growth within the area this then provides
- The masts to cover the developments could be built into the design of the development and therefore no stand out as retrospective built sites do
- It is cheaper to deploy as part of initial site build
- Developments are not built that block present signal.

The County Council would encourage the District to ensure that its policies enable it to secure fibre to the premises as part of the consenting of all new properties, accepting that in more remote locations this may not be practicable.

### **Planning Services**

Please take into account the safeguarding policies in the emerging Minerals and Waste Joint Plan.

## **Highways**

The following comments are made on behalf of the Local Highway Authority (LHA). Individual high level site assessments have been undertaken by the LHA to ascertain whether access can be gained from sites onto a publically maintained highway. Any

impact on the surrounding local road network of site allocations will need to be assessed through the provision of a transport statement/transport assessment and a travel plan where applicable. The LHA would seek to be involved in scoping discussions for the preparation of these documents.

CDC has commissioned Jacobs to assess the cumulative impact of site allocations within Skipton, the district's principal town. This has been undertaken through the use of an existing strategic transport model for Skipton, which has been subject to both industry standard validation as well as sensitivity checks through local knowledge. The LHA has provided comments to CDC on the outputs of this modelling work and the LHA is aware that the majority of these comments have been taken on board. The LHA is in discussions with the LPA over the detail and deliverability of the identified mitigation measures to address the cumulative impact of development in Skipton. The LHA seeks to continue to work closely with Craven District Council on this matter.

A number of highway schemes have been identified within the draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) these are listed in Table 5 of the document. These include schemes in Skipton identified by the highway modelling work and schemes in the wider district. The LHA has previously discussed and will continue to advise CDC on the highway infrastructure improvements required to mitigate the cumulative impact of development within Skipton. The other improvements Table 5 identifies are:

- Sutton Lane and Holme Lane junction improvements
- Bentham Station Road and Main Street junction, Bentham
- Hellifield and Long Preston mini roundabout
- Falcon Manor junction, Settle mini-roundabout

The delivery mechanism of some of the highway schemes has suggested potential funding sources through LTP. NYCC do not have proposals within the plan period to deliver a number of the schemes highlighted and as such the LHA would welcome further discussions over the listed schemes and identified delivery mechanisms.

NYCC as LHA do consider the junction mitigation measures identified through the Skipton modelling work as critical to development.

#### **Closing Comments**

| Closing Comments   |   |
|--|---|
| We trust you find these comments helpful in developing discuss any aspect of this response please do not hesit Senior Policy Officer, tel: | the Local Plan. Should you wish to<br>tate to contact my colleague<br>or by email |
|  |   |
| Yours faithfully   |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |

Corporate Director – Business and Environmental Services



| Site Ref               | Location   | Current Flood Risk (Based on Flood Risk Maps, information of which was provided by the Environment Agency)                                     | Any recorded incidents of flooding by NYCC?   | Has the location<br>been the subject<br>of a Section 19<br>Investigation<br>report? |   |
|------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Draft Policy S         | SP5 : Strategy for Skipton - Tier 1  |  |   |   |   |
| SK013                  | Land east of Aldersley Avenue and south of Moorview Way,<br>Skipton                    | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. High surface water flood risk along Jenny Beck which runs through the proposed site | There has been two reported incidents of internal property flooding off Short Bank Road, associated with the 2015 flood event                                 | n<br>N  |   |
| SK015                  | Cefn Glas, Shortbank Road, Skipton   | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from<br>rivers and sea. High surface water flood risk<br>along Shortbank Road                            | There has been one reported incident of flooding at the proposed site, associated with the 2015 flood events.   | N   |   |
| SK044                  | Former allotments and garages, Broughton Road, Skipton                                 | Flood Zone 2 and 3, medium and high risk of<br>flooding from rivers and sea. Low surface<br>water flood risk                                   | Road and Ings Lane  | N   |   |
| SK058                  | Whitakers Chocolate Factory Site, Skipton  | Flood Zone 3, a high risk of flooding from rivers and sea. High surface water risk on the proposed site.                                       | • •   | N   |   |
| SK060                  | Business premises and land, west of Firth Street, Skipton                              | High flood risk associated with the Leeds and<br>Liverpool Canal, low surface water flood risk<br>High flood risk from rivers and sea - Flood  | I There has been no reported incidents of flooding at<br>the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at                          | N   |   |
| SK061                  | East of canal, west of Sharpaw Avenue, Skipton   | Zones 2 and 3. Low-High Surface water flood risk   |   | N   |   |
| SK081, SK082,<br>SK108 | Land north of Gargrave Road and west of Park Wood Drive and Stirtonber , Skipton       | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low risk of surface water   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| SK087                  | Land to north of A6131 and south of A65, Skipton                                       | Flood Zone 1, a low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low surface water flood risk   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| SK088                  | Hawbank Fields north of Otley Road and south of A6131, Skipton                         | and nigh surface water risk in places.   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| SK089 & SK090          | Land to the north of Airedale Avenue & Elsey Croft and east of railway line, Skipton   | Medium flood risk associated with Skiboden<br>Beck, low-medium risk of surface water flood<br>risk across the site                             | • •   | N   |   |
| SK094                  | Land bounded by Carleton Road, railway line and A629, Skipton                          | risk   | NYCC in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority<br>has received 4 reported incidents of flooding off<br>Keighley road, north of snaygill industrial estate | N   |   |
| SK101                  | East of Keighley Road and south of Cawder Lane, Skipton                                | Flood Zone 1 and 3 - Low to High risk of<br>flooding from rivers and sea. Areas of high<br>surface water flood risk                            | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| SK114 & SK124          | Land to east of North Parade & Cawder Road garage site, Horse Close, Skipton           | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low risk of surface water flood risk.   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| SK139                  | East and west of Cavendish Street, Skipton   | proposed site.   | NYCC in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority has received 5 reported incidents of internal property flooding at this location.                          | N   | This site lies with Flood Zone 3, a High risk of surface water flooding. Increases in impermeable areas can<br>reduce the functional floodplain of rivers and increase flood risk for existing properties. We ask that<br>measures are taken to reduce risk to property in this location. |
| SK140                  | Skipton Station Areas A, and B, Carleton New Road, Sandylands Business Centre, Skipton |  | NYCC in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority<br>has received a report of flooding at this location.   | N   | It is possible that parts of this site lie within an IDB and therefore development should ensure communication with the IDB and meet all requirements necessary   |
| SK049                  | Land east of Skipton bypass, Skipton   | Unknown Location and cannot comment  |   | N   |   |
| SK113                  | Land south of Skipton Auction Mart, Skipton  | flood risk.  | No reports of flooding at the location however 3 r reported incidents of flooding south of the location   | N   |   |
| SK135                  | Skipton Rock Quarry, Skipton   | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low risk of surface water flood risk.   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N   |   |
| Draft Policy S         | Draft Policy SP6 : Strategy for Settle - Tier 2  |  |   |   |   |
| SG021, SG066,<br>SG080 | Land to the north-west and south-west of Penny Green, Settle                           | Flood Zone 1 at the proposed site location, a low risk of flooding. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the location.                   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed locations.   | N   |   |
| SG025                  | Land to the south of Ingfield Lane, Settle   | Flood Zone 1, a low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. High surface water risk at the proposed site   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed locations.   | N   |   |

| Security    |              |  | Flood Zono 1 a low risk of flooding from  |   |              |   |
|--|--------------|--|---|---|--------------|---|
| File IS Sorge Sold  File I | SG027, SG068 |  | proposed site.  |   | N            |   |
| Part      | SG035        | F H Ellis Garage, Settle                           | rivers and sea. High surface water flood risk   |   | N            |   |
| Part      | SG079        | NYCC Depot, Kirkgate, Settle                       | rivers and sea. High surface water flood risk   |   | N            |   |
| School   Care for for for fired Systes, Senile   Senile   Senite Systes Senile   Senite Systes Senile   Senite Systes Senile   Senite Systes Senite Systes Systes Senite Systes System   | LA004        | Land to the north of Town Head Way, Settle         | rivers and sea. Low-High surface water risk a   |   | N            |   |
| Source   Post    | SG060        | Land to north of Barrel Sykes, Settle              | low risk of flooding. Low-Medium surface  |   | N            |   |
| Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of blooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Nor set of surface water flooding from rives and saw. Our rives flooding floo | SG064        | Northern part of Sowarth Industrial Estate, Settle | low risk of flooding. Low risk of surface water                                       | There has been no reported incidents of needing at  | N            |   |
| HB022 North of Law Bertham Road, Hgh Bertham ear and sea North of Law Bertham ear and sea North of Law Bertham ear and sea North of Law Bertham ear and sea Hgh Risk of surface water flooding strond to location earlier flooding across the location earlier flooding across | Draft Policy | SP7 : Strategy for Bentham - Tier 2                |   |   |              |   |
| HeBC3 North or Law Bentham Road, High Bentham revers and sea. No risk of Burding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  HB036 Land to the East of Robin Lane, High Bentham revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  HB004 Land to west of Goodener Road, High Bentham revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood Zone 1-A low risk of flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood Zone 1-A low risk of flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood Zone 1-A low risk of flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood Zone 1-A low risk of flooding from revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood Zone 1-A low risk of flooding point revers and sea. Low-High risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.   | HB011        |  | rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flood  |   | N            |   |
| HB024 Phore of Lakeber Drive, High Bentham rives and sea. No risk of strake where from fix. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  T | HB023        | North of Low Bentham Road, High Bentham            | rivers and sea. High risk of surface water flooding across the location               | the proposed location.  | N            |   |
| HB026   Rast of Butts Lane, High Bentham   Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from river and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding from river and sea. Low - High risk of su   | HB024        | North of Lakeber Drive, High Bentham               | rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flood  |   | N            |   |
| HB036 Land to the East of Robin Lane, High Bentham  Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding arross the location  Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding arross the location  Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding arross the location  Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface water flooding from rivers and sea. No risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. No risk of Hooding from rivers and sea. No risk of Isodering from rivers and sea. Sea. The final rivers and sea. Sea. T | HB025        | East of Butts Lane, High Bentham                   | rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface  |   | N            |   |
| Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low High Risk of surface water flooding at water flooding at rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding across the location.    Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding across the location rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding across the location rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding and rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding from rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low High risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flooding flood zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flooding flood zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding strict can be found on site.    Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding strict can be found on site.   Flood zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding strict can be found on site.   Flood zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding strict can be found for the becks and drainage systems. Rivers and the surface water flooding across the site.   Flood zone 2 a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea   | HB026        |  | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface |   | N            |   |
| Land to west of Goodenber Road, High Bentham HB044 Land to west of Goodenber Road, High Bentham HB052 Land to north west of Bank Head Farm and south of Chyllhead Farm, High Bentham HB052 Wenning View, Low Bentham Road, Low Bentham Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Low- High risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Low- High risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Low- High risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Nor risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Nor risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of Iflooding from rivers and sea. Nor risk of surface water flooding Flood Zone 2 - A low risk of Iflooding from risk.  There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents of Iflooding at the proposed location. There has been no reported incidents | HB036        | Land to the East of Robin Lane, High Bentham       | Flood Zone 1 - A low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface |   | N            |   |
| HB044 Land to west of Goodenber Road, High Bentham  HB052 Land to north west of Bank Head Farm and south of Ghyllhead Farm, High Bentham  EB052 Land to north west of Bank Head Farm and south of Ghyllhead Farm, High Bentham  EB052 Wenning View, Low Bentham Road, Low Bentham  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of internal property flooding with Clusbum and Cross Hills due to the topography of the land and limited capacity within the becks and drainage systems. Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site location.  Flood zone 2, a medium risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  Flood zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding at the proposed location.  North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of internal property flooding with Clusbum and Cross Hills due to the topography of the land and limited capacity within the becks and drainage systems. Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site location.  Flood zone 2, a medium risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of internal property flooding with Clusbum and Cross Hills due to the topography of the land and limited capacity within the becks and drainage systems. Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site location.  Flood zone 2, a medium risk of surface water flooding at the proposed location.  North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  North | BB038        | Land south of Low Bentham Road, High Bentham       | rivers and sea. High risk of surface water  |   | N            |   |
| Farm, High Bentham Farm, Low Bentham Road, Low B | HB044        | Land to west of Goodenber Road, High Bentham       | rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface  |   | N            |   |
| EB012 Wenning View, Low Bentham Road, Low Bentha | HB052        |  | rivers and sea. Low - High risk of surface  |   | N            |   |
| There are areas within the site that lie within Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  There are areas within the site that lie within Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  There are areas within the site that lie within Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  There are areas within the site that lie within Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC086  Land at Malsis, Glusburn  Flood Zone 2 an endium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  SC037(a)  Land at Ashfield Farm, Skipton Road, Crosshills  There are areas within the site that lie within the flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water flooding across the site. with regards to the current condition of Glusburn Beck and the risk of the current condition of the beck, in which the local residents and landowners at this site to address the current condition of the beck, in which the deal within the state of the site location water course. NYC'S flood risk management team have been working closely with the local residents with regards to the current condition of Glusburn Beck has limited capacity within the becks and drainage systems.  Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site location  North Yorkshire County Council has received mo residents and landowners at this site to address the current condition of Glusburn Beck has limited capacity within the becks and landowners at his site to address the current condition of the beck, in which the deal water counts are received no responsible water counts and and manu |              |  | rivers and sea. No risk of surface water flood  |   | N            |   |
| Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  SC085  Land at Malsis, Glusbum  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  Flood Zone 2 and Journal with the local residents and capacity within the beeks and drainage systems. Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site location  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  SC037(a)  Land at Ashfield Farm, Skipton Road, Crosshills  Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water risk can be found on site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding south of rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding from rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of surface water flooding across the site.  Flood Zone 2, a medium risk of flooding rivers rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of residents with regards to the current condition of the waterourse. NYCC's flood risk management team have been working the waterourse. NYCC's flood r | Draft Policy | SP8 : Strategy for Glusburn/Crosshills - Tier 3    |   |   |              |   |
| rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of SC037(a)  Land at Ashfield Farm, Skipton Road, Crosshills  surface water flooding across the site.  received reports of flooding at the proposed site. NYCC has received reports of internal property flooding south of the site along the A6068 and B6265, Mill Street and Hargreaves street.  | SC085        | Land at Malsis, Glusburn                           | Flood Zone 2 and 3. Low-High surface water  | of internal property flooding with Glusburn and Cross<br>Hills due to the topography of the land and limited<br>capacity within the becks and drainage systems.<br>Recorded incidents are located on and off Colne<br>Road, and the Old Corn Mill, both just east of the site | Y(see below) | residents with regards to the current condition of Glusburn Beck and the risk of blockages within the<br>watercourse. NYCC's flood risk management team have been working closely with the local residents and<br>landowners at this site to address the current condition of the beck, in which the development intends to<br>discharge into. It must be noted that Glusburn Beck has limited capacity to deal with additional flows and |
| Draft Policy SP9 : Strategy for Ingleton - Tier 3  |              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·              | rivers and sea. Dispersed medium risk of  | reports of flooding at the proposed site. NYCC has received reports of internal property flooding south of the site along the A6068 and B6265, Mill Street and  | Y(see below) |   |
|  | Draft Policy | SP9 : Strategy for Ingleton - Tier 3               |   |   |              |   |

|               |  | Flood Zone 1, a low risk of flooding from  | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at   |              |  |
|---------------|--|--|---|--------------|--|
| IN006         | CDC car park, Backgate, Ingleton   | Rivers and Sea. No-Low risk of surface water flooding  |   | N            |  |
| IN010         | Caravan Park, north of River Greta, Ingleton   | Flood Zone 2 and 3 associated with the River<br>Greta. High to low surface water flood risk<br>across the site   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N            |  |
| IN028         | Between Ingleborough Park Drive and Low Demesne, Ingleton.                                     | High surface water flood risk, 1 in 30 risk<br>across site. Flood Zone 1, a low risk of<br>flooding from rivers and sea  | There have been reports of internal property flooding at Ingleborough Park Drive and surface water flooding along Main Street and New Village, Ingleton. Jenkin Beck is a small waterocurse which has been altered to take an unnatural course such as a 90 degree angle at | N            | North Yorkshire County Council, in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority has only recently received an increased number of concerns from local residents with regards to the capacity of the systems to cope with surface water discharge. NYCC's flood risk management team have been working closely with local residents, Craven District Council and the local highway office to understand where restrictions within the drainage systems may be. Additional flows from developments must take into account the limited capacity |
| IN029         | East of New Village and south of Low Demense, Ingleton.  | High surface water risk, 1 in 30 risk across site. Flood Zone 2 and 3, a medium to high risk of flooding from rivers and sea.  | the south end of New Village. As LLFA, we have recently been made aware of limited capacity with the current system and it may not be able to cope with significant increases in flow.  | N            | in this area.  |
| IN049         | Former playing fields, Ingleton Middle School, Ingleton.                                       | There are areas within the site that lie within Flood Zone 2 and 3. High surface water risk can be found on site.  | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N            |  |
| IN022 and IN0 | Land adjacent to southern edge of industrial estate, off New Road and west of Tatterthorn Lane | Flood Zone 2 and 3, high flood risk from rivers and sea. Low surface water flood risk.   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location.  | N            |  |
| Draft Policy  | SP10 : Strategy for Gargrave - Tier 3  |  |   |              |  |
| GA004         | Neville House, Neville Crescent, Gargrave  | Flood Zone 1, a low risk of flooding from<br>rivers and sea. High surface water risk at the<br>proposed site.  | There has been one reported incident of flooding at the proposed site, associated with the 2015 flood events.   | N            |  |
| GA009         | Land off Eshton Road, north of Canal, Gargrave   | High surface water flood risk along Eshton Road and Chew Lane. Flood Zone 1, a low risk of flooding from rivers and sea.   | There has been no reported incidents of flooding at the proposed location however NYCC have received four reports of internal property flooding within Gargrave associated with the 2015 flood event.   | N            |  |
| GA031         | Land to the west of Walton Close, Gargrave   | and sea. Low surface water flood risk on the proposed site.  |   | N            | Land north of Marton Road is with Flood Zone 2 and 3, a medium to high risk of flooding due to proximity to the River Aire.  |
| Draft Policy  | SP11 : Strategy for Tier 4A and 4B villages with basic   |  |   |              |  |
| BU012         | Richard Thornton's CE Primary School, Burton in Lonsdale                                       | and sea. Low surface water flood risk on the proposed site.  | • •   | N            |  |
| BR016         | Land to west of Gilders, Langholme Skipton Road, Low Bradley.                                  |  | North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of internal property flooding with Low Bradley due to the topography of the land and limited capacity within the becks and drainage systems.  | Y(see below) |  |
| SG014         | Land at Lord's Close, Giggleswick  | Lord's Close and west of Lord's Close has a<br>High Risk of Surface water flooding and land<br>east of Lord's Close lies within Flood Zone 1,<br>a low risk of flooding from rivers and sea. |   | N            |  |
| CN006         | Station Works, north of Cononley Lane, Cononley  | Flood Zone 3, a high risk of flooding from rivers and sea. High surface water risk on the proposed site.   | North Yorkshire County Council has received reports of internal property flooding north of Cononley lane and along crosshills road. Internal property flooding was associated with the 2015 flood event.  | Y(see below) |  |

#### Section 19 Reports

As a result of the Boxing Day 2015 flood events, North Yorkshire County Council undertook a Section 19 Investigation report in South Craven. Six communities in South Craven have been identified as being most affected by the Boxing Day Event, resulting in the internal flooding of 74 properties in Low Bradley, Cononley, Kildwick, Cross Hills, Glusburn, and Sutton-in-Craven. All these communities have a history of flooding although mechanisms and sources may have differed in the past. Development within these areas should take into account the level of risk associated with the location and ensure measures are in place to mitigate the risk of flooding from multiple sources.