

Roy Banks

From: Ruth Parker
Sent: 27 November 2018 08:37
To: 'Robert Bryan'
Subject: FW: Responses to questions requested by Mr R Bryan
Attachments: Results & Ranking Order Sheet 23.07.15.doc

Robert

I forward you a response from Gargrave Parish Council regarding the information you requested. Apologies for the delay in forwarding you this email, I was in meetings all day last Wednesday and then have been out of the office until today.

Kind regards
Ruth

From: Gargrave Parish Council
Sent: 21 November 2018 13:06
To: Ruth Parker
Subject: Responses to questions requested by Mr R Bryan

We apologise for the delay in getting back to you but set out below are the responses to the urgent matters Mr Bryan is requesting to date.

In Table 4.2 there is a representation from David Steele see 57.1 objecting, and M and C Lord see 66.1. There are also several anonymous representations in Table 4.1 and 4.2 which may or may not be from the other residents listed in 2a. Interestingly M and C Lord " Support the sites preferred by the plan for new housing". However these may not be same people as Mr and Mrs Lord.

All the responses in paper form are in those tables referred to above.

Please find attached the ranking form which was prepared from the comments received at the Informal Consultation May/June 2015. You will see from this document that GA31 which was re-numbered G2/10 ranked No. 1.

It is from this document we believe the transference of data, possibly the Vision data onto the submitted NP on Table 3 of the proposed housing sites on Page 39 a mistake has occurred which is an honest mistake which was unfortunately missed.

We can confirm that Reg. 14 is a true record.

In relation to the question relating to whether the Eshton Road site could be included in the Gargrave NP, because it has been selected in the CDC Local Plan, as part of the Informal Consultation June 2015 the GA009 site was commented on with the other sites. However, at this time our housing requirement did not need this site. A few months later NYCC came to our Village Hall with a presentation about their rolling programme across the County for Extra Care Facilities. The villagers were already aware that Neville House Nursing Home G2/2, GA04, had been put forward for re-development but was now considered too small for NYCC new approach of at least 60 dwellings per site. CDC maintained this site on their Local Plan going forward and as our

NP would require re-consultation, however we altered our village boundary to include this site. We accept this is a needed facility within the area.

Should you require further information, do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind regards

Kath

Kath Ashby
Clerk
Gargrave Parish Council


www.gargravepc.org.uk

(office hours Mon 10am - 2pm)